UWThPh-2014-25

Spinning squashed extra dimensions and chiral gauge theory from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

Harold C. Steinacker[1]

Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna
Boltzmanngasse 5, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

arXiv:1411.3139v3 [hep-th] 28 Apr 2015

Abstract

New solutions of $SU(N)$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM on $\mathbb{R}^4$ interpreted as spinning self-intersecting extra dimensions are discussed. Remarkably, these backgrounds lead to a low-energy sector with 3 generations of chiral fermions coupled to scalar and gauge fields, with standard Lorentz-invariant kinematics. This sector arises from zero modes localized on the rotation axes, which are oblivious to the background rotation. The remaining modes are not described by a Lorentz-invariant field theory and are mostly "heavy", but there is one sextet of tachyonic excitations. Assuming that the latter get stabilized e.g. by quantum effects, we argue that different rotation frequencies would induce a VEV for some of the low-energy scalar fields. We discuss configurations which may lead to a low-energy physics not far from the broken phase of the standard model.

keywords: fuzzy extra dimensions; $N = 4$ super-Yang-Mills; fuzzy spaces; rotating branes

[1]harold.steinacker@univie.ac.at

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Rotating branes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM **4**
2.1 Rotating squashed brane solutions 5

3 Excitation modes on rotating branes **8**
3.1 Zero modes 9
3.2 The deformed or mixing sector 12
3.3 Fermions 14
3.4 Gauge bosons 16

4 Interactions **17**
4.1 Scalar self-interactions and nontrivial Higgs 17
4.2 Higgs background and Yukawa couplings 20
4.3 Fermionic currents and coupling to gauge bosons 22
4.4 Higgs – gauge bosons coupling 22

5 Stacks of branes **23**
5.1 Point branes attached to squashed brane 23
5.1.1 Off-diagonal Higgs S_{12} 24
5.1.2 Yukawas for off-diagonal fermions and Higgs 26

6 Towards the standard model **26**

7 Energy and current **29**

8 Discussion and conclusion **30**

A Structure of the potentials and mixing **31**

1 Introduction

Simplicity has always been a central guiding principle in theoretical physics. In the theory of fundamental interactions, this leads naturally to the idea of grand unified models. Another idea is that a simple higher-dimensional theory, such as string theory, might explain the rich low-energy phenomenology in terms of some compactification $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathcal{K}$. Remarkably, both ideas can be combined and realized within 4-dimensional gauge theory, through a geometrical version of the Higgs mechanism. For example, starting with $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills (SYM) amended by a suitable cubic potential, the behavior of a higher-dimensional theory on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathcal{K}_N$ may emerge in a non-trivial vacuum, within a certain range of energies. Here $\mathcal{K}_N$ is some approximation to a compact space $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^6$, such as a fuzzy sphere, or some more complicated fuzzy manifold. This mechanism of dynamically generating fuzzy extra dimensions has been studied in various guises and examples, see e.g. [1–12]. It allows to obtain the attractive features and the structure of a higher-dimensional theory starting from a simple 4-dimensional gauge theory.

Although in basic examples the resulting low-energy physics is somewhat academic, progress has been made recently towards more interesting low-energy behavior. In particular, chiral fermions can be obtained for backgrounds which locally span all 6 internal dimensions in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, e.g. via intersecting branes [13, 14], or other related mechanisms [9, 15, 16]. A particularly interesting and non-trivial example was found in [17], where $\mathcal{K}_N \cong \mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ is a fuzzy version of a squashed (or projected) self-intersecting coadjoint orbit of $SU(3)$. This leads to 3 generations of chiral fermions in the low-energy theory, localized at the origin of the extra dimensions. These solutions can be stabilized by a cubic soft SUSY breaking term in the potential, which is added by hand. This may seem unavoidable, but it spoils the simplicity and the special role of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. Analogous solutions arise also in the IKKT or IIB matrix model [18], where the cubic terms are more problematic since logarithmic UV divergences typically lead to UV/IR mixing.

In the present paper, we show that the $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ solutions arise even in pure vanilla $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM without any SUSY-breaking potential, if the compact space is allowed to spin. This corresponds to a condensate of $SO(6)$ currents with maximal rank. While there are many spinning background solutions in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and related matrix models (see e.g. [19, 20]), they typically lead to significant breaking of Lorentz invariance with unacceptable low-energy kinematics, in particular for interesting cases with chiral fermions at intersecting branes [21]. The miraculous property of the squashed branes $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ is that they lead to a low-energy sector consisting of three generations of bosonic and fermionic chiral zero modes, which are oblivious to the background rotation, and governed by a standard Poincare-invariant field theory at low energies. These bosonic and fermionic zero modes naturally form chiral supermultiplets. This "miracle" can be understood by the fact that the chiral fermionic and bosonic zero modes arise at the intersection of the different sheets of the fuzzy brane at the origin [17], which is the center of rotation. Besides these zero modes, there is a sector of deformed fluctuation modes which couple to the background rotation, and which are not described by an ordinary field theory. We provide a formalism which allows to describe these fluctuations explicitly. A numerical analysis indicates that most of these modes are stable and "heavy", however there is a sextet of (slightly) unstable modes i.e. resonances. In this paper, we simply assume that this sector can be stabilized somehow (e.g. by quantum corrections or by modifications of the background), and explore the possible consequences. Clearly this instability must be addressed in more detail elsewhere.

Studying the zero modes around these backgrounds leads to further intriguing observations. If the three rotation frequencies ω_i are slightly different, then some of these bosonic zero modes acquire a negative mass, inducing further symmetry breaking; they will hence be called "would-be zero modes". The scalar field equations indeed admit solutions where some of these modes are switched on, with scale set by the *difference* of the ω_i. This suggests an interesting mechanism for introducing a hierarchy of scales into the model. However, these solutions are only approximate neglecting the gauge fields, and more complete solutions remain to be found.

To get some insight into the low-energy physics which may emerge on such a background, we start exploring the (rather complicated) structure of the Yukawa couplings between the low-energy Higgs modes and the chiral fermions, as well as the couplings between the low-energy fermionic currents and the gauge fields. This is particularly interesting for stacks of such branes. The resulting pattern of fermions linking a stack of point branes attached to $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ branes leads quite naturally to the matter content of the standard model coupled to

the appropriate gauge fields, with all the correct quantum numbers. It turns out that only a special subset of the Kaluza-Klein tower of gauge fields couples to the low-energy currents, which includes in particular a pair of "chiral" gauge fields with distinct coupling to different chiral fermion modes attached to the brane, independent of generation. Additional fields such as various gauginos and higher Kaluza-Klein modes also arise, and no claim on the physical viability is made. Nevertheless, it is striking that one can arrive quite naturally in the vicinity of the standard model (in the broken phase), reproducing precisely all its odd quantum numbers and even "predicting" the number of generations.

Although the underlying assumption of a spinning background may seem strange at first, it is in a sense quite generic. In fact, the assumption of having precisely zero background currents is non-generic, hence the present discussion is quite natural. The solutions under consideration simply amount to a $SO(6)$ R-current condensate with full rank. However, the fate of the resonance modes must be addressed in more detailed and resolved, in order to establish a reliable basis for further work. In spite of this issue, the accumulation of little miracles in the most symmetric of all field theories $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is certainly remarkable, and lends to the hope that these solutions may point the way towards actual physics.

2 Rotating branes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

The action of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N)$ SYM is organized most transparently in terms of 10-dimensional SYM reduced to 4 dimensions:

$$S_{\rm YM} = \int d^4x \, \frac{1}{4g^2} {\rm tr}\Big(- F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - 2D^\mu\Phi^a D_\mu\Phi_a + [\Phi^a,\Phi^b][\Phi_a,\Phi_b]\Big) + {\rm tr}\Big(\bar\Psi\gamma^\mu i D_\mu\Psi + \bar\Psi\Gamma^a[\Phi_a,\Psi]\Big). \tag{2.1}$$

Here $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength, $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - i[A_\mu, .]$ the covariant derivative, Φ^a, $a \in \{1,2,4,5,6,7\}$ are 6 scalar fields[2], Ψ is a matrix-valued Majorana-Weyl spinor of $SO(9,1)$ dimensionally reduced to 4-dimensions, and Γ^a arise from the 10-dimensional gamma matrices. All fields transform in the adjoint of the $SU(N)$ gauge symmetry, and the coupling constant g is absorbed in the scalar fields Φ. It will be useful to work with dimensionless scalar fields labeled by the roots $\pm\alpha_i$ of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$,

$$\Phi_\alpha = mX_\alpha, \qquad \alpha \in \mathcal{I} = \{\pm\alpha_i,\ i = 1,2,3\} \tag{2.2}$$

where m has the dimension of a mass. These $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ are viewed as points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ forming a hexagon, cf. figure 1. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} X_1^\pm &= \frac{1}{2}(X_4 \pm iX_5) \equiv X_{\pm\alpha_1}, \\ X_2^\pm &= \frac{1}{2}(X_6 \mp iX_7) \equiv X_{\pm\alpha_2}, \\ X_3^\pm &= \frac{1}{2}(X_1 \pm iX_2) \equiv X_{\pm\alpha_3}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

[2]The unusual numbering of the indices anticipates their relation with $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ generators in the following.

The potential for the scalar fields can then be written as

$$V[X] = -\frac{m^4}{4g^2}\mathrm{tr}\Big(\sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathcal{I}}[X_\alpha, X_\beta][X^\alpha, X^\beta]\Big) \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$X^\alpha = 2X_{-\alpha}. \tag{2.5}$$

Including variations around some background

$$X_\alpha \to X_\alpha + \phi_\alpha \tag{2.6}$$

the potential can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} V(X+\phi) = \frac{m^4}{g^2}\mathrm{tr}\Big(&-\frac{1}{4}[X_\alpha, X_\beta][X^\alpha, X^\beta] + \phi_\alpha \Box_X X^\alpha + X_\alpha \Box_\phi \phi^\alpha \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\phi^\alpha\Big(\Box_X \delta^\beta_\alpha + 2[[X_\alpha, X^\beta], .]\Big)\phi_\beta - \frac{1}{2}f^2 - \frac{1}{4}[\phi_\alpha, \phi_\beta][\phi^\alpha, \phi^\beta]\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Here

$$\Box_X = \sum_{a\in\mathcal{I}}[X_\alpha, [X^\alpha, .]] = 2([X_j^+, [X_j^-, .]] + [X_j^-, [X_j^+, .]]) \tag{2.8}$$

and similarly $\Box_\phi$, and

$$f = i[\phi_\alpha, X^\alpha] \tag{2.9}$$

can be viewed as gauge-fixing function in extra dimensions. Therefore the equations of motion (eom) are

$$(\Box_4 + m^2\Box_X)X_\alpha = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

where $\Box_4 = -D_\mu D^\mu$. The quadratic terms $V_2(\phi)$ governing the fluctuations in ϕ^a is

$$V_2(\phi) = \frac{m^4}{2g^2}\mathrm{tr}\Big(\phi_\alpha\big(\Box_X\delta^\alpha_\beta + 2[[X^\alpha, X_\beta], .] - [X^\alpha, [X_\beta, .]]\big)\phi^\beta\Big)\ . \tag{2.11}$$

We will often drop the last term, assuming the gauge $f = 0$.

2.1 Rotating squashed brane solutions

We recall the construction of the squashed fuzzy coadjoint orbits $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ with singular embedding in $\mathbb{R}^6$ [17]. Let $T_a,\ a = 1, ..., 8$ be generators of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, with structure constants

$$[T_a, T_b] = ic_{abc}T_c \tag{2.12}$$

canonically normalized with the Killing form

$$\kappa_{ab} = (T_a, T_b) = 2\delta_{ab}. \tag{2.13}$$

The Cartan subalgebra is spanned by the two Cartan generators $H_3 \equiv T_3$ and $H_8 \equiv T_8$. The remaining generators $T_\alpha,\ \alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ are combined into the ladder or root generators

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^\pm &= \frac{1}{2}(T_4 \pm iT_5) \equiv T_{\pm\alpha_1}, \\ T_2^\pm &= \frac{1}{2}(T_6 \mp iT_7) \equiv T_{\pm\alpha_2}, \\ T_3^\pm &= \frac{1}{2}(T_1 \pm iT_2) = \pm[T_1^\pm, T_2^\pm] \equiv T_{\pm\alpha_3}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where α_1, α_2 are the simple roots and $\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$, see figure 1. Then the Lie algebra relations can be written in the Cartan–Weyl form as

$$\begin{aligned} [T_\alpha, T_\beta] &= \pm T_{\alpha+\beta}, \qquad 0 \neq \alpha + \beta \in \mathcal{I} \\ [T_{\alpha_i}, T_{-\alpha_i}] &= H_i \\ [H, T_\alpha] &= \alpha(H) T_\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

or explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} &[T_i^+, T_i^-] = H_i, \qquad i = 1,2,3 \\ &[T_1^+, T_2^+] = T_3^+ \\ &[T_1^+, T_3^-] = -T_2^- \\ &[T_2^+, T_3^-] = T_1^- \\ &[T_1^+, T_2^-] = [T_2^+, T_3^+] = [T_1^+, T_3^+] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

We recall that the Cartan generators are canonically associated to weights $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$, such that $H_\alpha |M\rangle = (\alpha, M)|M\rangle$ for weight states $|M\rangle$ in any representation; in particular $H_i = H_{\alpha_i}$. We also recall the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$, which is generated by the reflections in weight space along the roots α_i.

Let $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ be the irreducible representation[3] with highest weight $\mu = n_1\Lambda_1 + n_2\Lambda_2$, where Λ_i are the fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$. For any $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, this provides us with 6 hermitian matrices $X^a_{(\mu)} = \pi_\mu(T^a)$, or equivalently

$$X_{\pm\alpha_i} \equiv X_i^\pm = \pi_\mu(T_i^\pm), \qquad i = 1,2,3 \tag{2.17}$$

(we will drop the subscript μ from now on). Note that the Cartan generators are *not* included in the X^a. As explained in [17], the X^a can be viewed as non-commutative embedding functions $X^a \sim x^a : \mathcal{C}[\mu] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^8 \to \mathbb{R}^6$, where $\mathcal{C}[\mu]$ is a coadjoint orbit of $SU(3)$. This defines squashed fuzzy $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$, interpreted as noncommutative brane with squashed embedding in $\mathbb{R}^6$. For $n_1 = n_2$, these are projections of fuzzy $\mathbb{C}P^2_N$ [22].

As they stand, these matrices X^a are of course not solutions of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. One possibility is to add a cubic soft SUSY breaking term to the potential, such that they are solutions [17]. Here we pursue a different possibility, following the observation [19] that such branes can often be stabilized by rotation. Thus consider the following ansatz corresponding to spinning squashed fuzzy $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ branes

$$\boxed{X_i^\pm = r_i e^{\pm i\omega_i x}\, \pi_\mu(T_i^\pm)} \tag{2.18}$$

[3]The fundamental representation with $\mu = \Lambda_1$ corresponds to the Gell-Mann matrices $\lambda_a = \pi_{(1,0)}(T_a)$.

for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $\omega x \equiv \omega_{i,\mu} x^\mu$. This amounts to a x-dependent $SO(6)$ rotation, or a rotating plane wave. We allow for different (dimensionless) radii r_i and rotation frequency vectors $\omega_{i,\mu}$. Using the Lie algebra relations, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\Box_X X_i^+ &= \sum_j ([X_j^+, [X_j^-, X_i^+]] + [X_j^-, [X_j^+, X_i^+]]) \\ &= r_i^2([T_i^+, [T_i^-, X_i^+]] + \sum_{j\neq i} r_j^2 [T_j^-, [T_j^+, X_i^+]]) \\ &= \big(2r_i^2 + \sum_{j\neq i} r_j^2\big) X_i^+ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\Box_X X_1^\pm &= 2(2r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2) X_1^\pm , \\ \Box_X X_2^\pm &= 2(r_1^2 + 2r_2^2 + r_3^2) X_2^\pm , \\ \Box_X X_3^\pm &= 2(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + 2r_3^2) X_3^\pm . \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Hence the eom (2.10) become

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1^2 &= -2m^2(2r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2) \\ \omega_2^2 &= -2m^2(r_1^2 + 2r_2^2 + r_3^2) \\ \omega_3^2 &= -2m^2(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + 2r_3^2) \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

assuming $A_\mu = 0$, or

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1^2 \\ r_2^2 \\ r_3^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{8m^2} \begin{pmatrix} -3\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2 \\ \omega_1^2 - 3\omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2 \\ \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 - 3\omega_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.22}$$

Note that these equations are independent of the representation π_μ, and have a large space of solutions as long as the rhs of (2.22) is positive. The most obvious solution is obtained for coinciding (time-like) frequencies[4] $\omega_1^2 = \omega_2^2 = \omega_3^2 = \omega^2$ and $r_i^2 = -\frac{1}{8m^2}\omega^2$. The scale of the background is thus set by the rotation frequencies ω_i.

However, the assumption $A_\mu = 0$ is consistent with Yang-Mills equations $D^\mu F_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{J}_\nu$ only if the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ gauge current $\mathcal{J}_\mu$ vanishes; this is not automatic for rotating backgrounds. We obtain

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu = -i[\Phi_\alpha, D_\mu \Phi^\alpha] = -4m^2 \sum_i r_i^2 \omega_{i\mu} H_i \qquad \in \mathfrak{su}(N) \ , \tag{2.23}$$

and therefore

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu = 0 \qquad \text{if and only if} \quad \sum_i r_i^2 \omega_{i\mu} \alpha_i = 0 \tag{2.24}$$

or equivalently

$$r_1^2 \omega_{1\mu} = r_2^2 \omega_{2\mu} = -r_3^2 \omega_{3\mu} \ . \tag{2.25}$$

[4]The sign of ω_i (forward or backward in time) is undetermined here, but will be fixed below.

This means that the three $U(1)_i$ R-currents J^i_μ (7.2) must coincide. It turns out that taking into account this constraint, (2.22) admits only one solution,

$$r_1^2 = r_2^2 = r_3^2 = -\frac{1}{8m^2}\omega^2, \qquad \omega = \omega_1 = \omega_2 = -\omega_3 \tag{2.26}$$

so that there is a single scale set by the time-like vector ω.

Therefore we obtained rotating brane solutions of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, and similarly one obtains solutions of the IKKT matrix model on the quantum plane $\mathbb{R}^4_\theta$. Even though the above analysis leaves only one solution (2.26), we will explore also the case of different ω_i in the following. This may arise in more complicated situations as discussed in section 4.1, or possibly upon taking into account quantum effects which would modify the classical equations (2.22).

3 Excitation modes on rotating branes

Consider internal fluctuations around this rotating brane background corresponding to 4-dimensional scalar fields,

$$X_\alpha = \bar{X}_\alpha + \phi_\alpha \tag{3.1}$$

or more explicitly

$$X_i^\pm = r_i e^{\pm i\omega_i x}\, T_i^\pm + \phi_i^\pm \ . \tag{3.2}$$

Imposing the gauge condition $f = 0$ (2.9), the action (2.11) leads to the equation of motion[5] for the fluctuations

$$\big(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2} + \Box_X + 2\not{D}_{ad}\big)\phi = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

in terms of the "adjoint" Dirac operators

$$\begin{aligned}
(\not{D}_{ad}\phi)_\alpha &= [[\bar{X}_\alpha, \bar{X}^\beta], \phi_\beta] = \not{D}_{\text{mix}} + \not{D}_{\text{diag}}, \\
(\not{D}_{\text{mix}}\phi)_\alpha &= \sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} [[\bar{X}_\alpha, \bar{X}^\beta], \phi_\beta] \\
(\not{D}_{\text{diag}}\phi)_\alpha &= 2[[\bar{X}_\alpha, \bar{X}_{-\alpha}], \phi_\alpha]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

with $X^\alpha \equiv 2X_{-\alpha}$. Here $\not{D}_{\text{mix}}$ mixes the polarizations α (corresponding to roots of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$) and is time-dependent, while $\not{D}_{\text{diag}}$ preserves the polarizations and is static. Using the time-dependent commutation relations for the background (cf. (2.15))

$$[\bar{X}_\alpha, \bar{X}_\beta] = e^{i(\omega_\alpha+\omega_\beta-\omega_\gamma)x} r_\alpha r_\beta r_\gamma^{-1} c^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \bar{X}_\gamma + c^i_{\alpha\beta} r_i H_i \ , \tag{3.5}$$

these are explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}
(\not{D}_{\text{mix}}\phi)_\alpha &= \pm 2 \sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} e^{i(\omega_a-\omega_\beta-\omega_{\alpha-\beta})x} r_\alpha r_\beta r_\gamma^{-1} [X_{\alpha-\beta}, \phi_\beta] \\
(\not{D}_{\text{diag}}\phi)_\alpha &= 2 r_\alpha [H_\alpha, \phi_\alpha] \qquad \text{(no sum)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

[5] One may also add a (positive) gauge-fixing term f^2 to the potential as in [17], which removes the unphysical pure gauge modes from the massless spectrum. This simplifies the analysis of the vector fluctuations.

Only terms with $\alpha - \beta \in \mathcal{I}$ contribute in the first line. We note that

$$\tau \not{D}_{\text{mix}} = -\not{D}_{\text{mix}} \tau \ . \tag{3.7}$$

where $\tau = \text{diag}(i, i, -i)$ is the generator of $U(1) \subset SO(6)$ corresponding to the simultaneous rotations of the ϕ_α along the α_1, α_2 and $-\alpha_3$ directions (cf. figure 1). The equations of motion separate into time-independent and time-dependent terms,

$$(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2} + \Box_X + 2\not{D}_{\text{diag}})\phi + 2\not{D}_{\text{mix}}\phi = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Let us spell out these equations in the complex root basis, starting with (3.3)

$$(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2} + \Box_X)\phi_i^+ + 4\sum_j r_i r_j e^{i\omega_i x}\Big(e^{-i\omega_j x}[[T_i^+, T_j^-], \phi_j^+] + e^{i\omega_j x}[[T_i^+, T_j^+], \phi_j^-]\Big) = 0 \tag{3.9}$$

along with the 3 conjugate equations. Using the $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ relations $[T_i^-, T_j^+] = \delta_i^j H_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, $[T_1^+, T_3^-] = -T_2^-$ and $[T_2^+, T_3^-] = T_1^-$, the explicit form of (3.8) is

$$\begin{aligned}
(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2} + \Box_X + 4r_1^2[H_1, .])\phi_1^+ + 4r_1 e^{i\omega_1 x}\Big(r_2 e^{i\omega_2 x}[T_3^+, \phi_2^-] - r_3 e^{-i\omega_3 x}[T_2^-, \phi_3^+]\Big) &= 0 \\
(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2} + \Box_X + 4r_2^2[H_2, .])\phi_2^+ + 4r_2 e^{i\omega_2 x}\Big(r_3 e^{-i\omega_3 x}[T_1^-, \phi_3^+] - r_1 e^{i\omega_1 x}[T_3^+, \phi_1^-]\Big) &= 0 \\
(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2} + \Box_X + 4r_3^2[H_3, .])\phi_3^+ + 4r_3 e^{i\omega_3 x}\Big(r_2 e^{-i\omega_2 x}[T_1^+, \phi_2^+] - r_1 e^{-i\omega_1 x}[T_2^+, \phi_1^+]\Big) &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

as well as the conjugate relations. Note that the first terms are time-independent and diagonal in the polarization, while the second terms are time-dependent and mix the polarizations. It turns out that the solutions to these equations fall into two classes: First, there is a set of zero modes (or "would-be zero modes" as explained below), which are oblivious to the background rotation and have standard dispersion relation. All other modes couple to the background rotation, and accordingly have deformed dispersion relations. This could be physically acceptable if they are massive in some generalized sense.

3.1 Zero modes

There is a special set of modes $\phi^{(0)}$ characterized by $\not{D}_{\text{mix}}\phi^{(0)} = 0$, which are thereby oblivious to the explicit x^μ-dependence of the background, and which are zero modes if all r_i coincide. They will be denoted as zero modes, or "would-be zero modes" for reasons explained below. In view of (3.6) or (3.10), these modes must satisfy

$$T_{\alpha-\beta} \triangleright \phi_\beta^{(0)} := [T_{\alpha-\beta}, \phi_\beta^{(0)}] = 0 \qquad \forall \alpha, \beta \text{ with } \alpha - \beta \in \mathcal{I}. \tag{3.11}$$

or equivalently

$$T_\alpha \triangleright \phi_\beta^{(0)} = [T_\alpha, \phi_\beta^{(0)}] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha + \beta \in \mathcal{I} \tag{3.12}$$

Here $\triangleright$ denotes the $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ action on

$$\phi_\alpha \in End(\mathcal{H}) = \oplus V_\Lambda. \tag{3.13}$$

It is easy to find all solutions of (3.11): These are two equations for each ϕ_β, which state that ϕ_β is an extremal weight[6] vector in V_Λ with weight in the Weyl chamber opposite to β. For example, take $\alpha = -\alpha_3$. Then $T_1^+ \triangleright \phi^{(0)}_{-\alpha_3} = 0 = T_2^+ \triangleright \phi^{(0)}_{-\alpha_3}$ is tantamount to the statement that $\phi_{-\alpha_3}$ is a highest weight vector in V_Λ,

$$\phi^{(0)}_{-\alpha_3} = |\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle. \tag{3.14}$$

Analogous modes are obtained by acting with the Weyl group[7] $\mathcal{W}$ on $\phi^{(0)}_{-\alpha_3}$, denoted as

$$\phi^{(0)}_{-\alpha} = |w_\alpha \Lambda, \Lambda\rangle, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3 \tag{3.15}$$

where w_α maps the fundamental Weyl chamber into that of α, i.e. $w_\alpha \cdot \alpha_3 = \alpha$. The gauge-fixing condition $f = 0$ is satisfied. They all satisfy (3.11) and

$$\not{D}_{\text{mix}} \phi^{(0)}_\alpha = 0, \tag{3.16}$$

and thus are oblivious to the background rotation. Particular examples[8] of such modes are given by

$$\phi_i^{\pm(0)} = (T_i^\mp)^n, \tag{3.17}$$

for n below some cutoff. As a consequence, the equations of motion reduce to

$$\big(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2} + \Box_X + 2\not{D}_{\text{diag}}\big)\phi^{(0)} = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

We evaluate $\Box_X$ explicitly for $\phi^{(0)}_{-\alpha_3} = |\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Box_X |\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle &= \sum_i [X_i^+, [X_i^-, .]]|\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle = \sum_i r_i^2 \, T_i^+ T_i^- |\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle \\ &= \sum_i r_i^2 \, [T_i^+, T_i^-]|\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle = \sum_i r_i^2 H_i |\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle \\ &= \big(r_1^2 \alpha_1 + r_2^2 \alpha_2 + r_3^2 \alpha_3, \Lambda\big)|\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \not{D}_{\text{diag}} \phi^{(0)}_{-\alpha_3} &= 2r_3^2 [[T_{-3}, T_3], \phi^{(0)}_{-\alpha_3}] = -2r_3^2 [H_3, \phi^{(0)}_\alpha] \\ &= -2r_3^2 \, (\alpha_3, \Lambda) \phi^{(0)}_{-\alpha_3} \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

and the eigenvalue on $\phi^{(0)}_{\alpha_3}$ is the same. Therefore we have obtained the $\alpha = -\alpha_3$ case of

$$(\Box_X + 2\not{D}_{\text{diag}}) \phi^{(0)}_\alpha = M^\phi_\alpha \phi^{(0)}_\alpha, \tag{3.21}$$

[6] A weight Λ in a (finite-dimensional) representation V is called extremal if it is related by some element $w \in \mathcal{W}$ to a highest weight of V.

[7] We recall that the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$ – or more precisely a certain covering $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ in the braid group – can be viewed as a discrete subgroup of $SU(3)$, and therefore acts on any finite-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$.

[8] For squashed $\mathbb{C}P^2_N$, this exhausts all zero modes in $End(\mathcal{H}_\mu)$.

with

$$M^{\phi}_{-\alpha_3} = 2\big(r_1^2\alpha_1 + r_2^2\alpha_2 - r_3^2\alpha_3, \Lambda\big). \tag{3.22}$$

Similarly for $\phi^{(0)}_{\alpha_3} = |\Omega\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle$ where $\Omega\Lambda$ is the extremal weight in the opposite Weyl chamber to Λ, we obtain

$$M^{\phi}_{\alpha_3} = 2\big(- r_1^2\alpha_1 - r_2^2\alpha_2 + r_3^2\alpha_3, \Omega\Lambda\big) \tag{3.23}$$

and $M^{\phi}_{-\alpha_3} = M^{\phi}_{\alpha_3}$ if $\Omega\Lambda = -\Lambda$. This clearly vanishes if all r_i or ω_i^2 coincide. In general, the mass of $\phi^{(0)}_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\phi}_{\alpha} &= 2\big(\sigma_1 r_1^2\alpha_1 + \sigma_2 r_2^2\alpha_2 + \sigma_3 r_3^2\alpha_3, \Lambda'\big) \\ &= 0 \qquad \text{if} \quad r_1 = r_2 = r_3 \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where σ_i is the sign of the Weyl group element relating α_i with the extremal weight Λ' (which is in the Weyl chamber opposite to α). This becomes more transparent in terms of the over-complete weight labels

$$n_1 = (\Lambda, \alpha_1), \quad n_2 = (\Lambda, \alpha_2), \quad n_3 = (\Lambda, -\alpha_3) = -(n_1 + n_2). \tag{3.25}$$

Then the eigenvalues can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} M^{\phi}_{\alpha_3} \\ M^{\phi}_{-\alpha_2} \\ M^{\phi}_{-\alpha_1} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \\ n_2 & n_3 & n_1 \\ n_3 & n_1 & n_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_1^2 \\ r_2^2 \\ r_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.26}$$

and similarly for the opposite roots. While the individual masses can have either sign, they satisfy the sum rule

$$M^{\phi}_{-\alpha_1} + M^{\phi}_{-\alpha_2} + M^{\phi}_{\alpha_3} = 0 \tag{3.27}$$

and similarly for the opposite roots. For $r_1 = r_2 = r_3$, we recover $M^{\phi}_i = 0$, and all $\phi^{(0)}_{\alpha}$ are exact zero modes. Conversely, we show in appendix A that for $r_i = r$, all zero modes of the time-independent potential $(\Box_X + 2\not{D}_{\rm diag})$ are indeed given by the above modes $\phi^{(0)}_{\alpha}$, which in turn are zero modes of $\not{D}_{\rm mix}$. Therefore we have properly identified the regular modes which obey standard Lorentz-invariant kinematics. Since these $\phi^{(0)}_{\alpha}$ modes decouple from the rotation also for different r_i, we will denote them as would-be zero modes, as they may in general acquire non-vanishing masses M^2_{α}.

Finally we need to take into account the reality condition $(\phi^+_i)^\dagger = \phi^-_i$, mapping the extremal weights Λ to $-\Lambda$. For real representations V_Λ, the reality condition relates the two opposite extremal modes, so that

$$\big(\phi^{+(0)}_i\big)^\dagger = | - w_i^-\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle = |w_i^+\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle = \phi_i^{-(0)}. \tag{3.28}$$

For complex representation, which arise in particular for links between different branes, the reality condition relates zero modes with opposite extremal weights in the conjugate representations V_Λ and $V^*_\Lambda = V_{-\Omega\Lambda}$.

To summarize, we have found 6 real or 3 complex zero modes $\phi_i^{\pm(0)}(k)e^{ikx}$ on $\mathbb{R}^4$, corresponding to the extremal weights $\mathcal{W}\Lambda$ in the decomposition $End(\mathcal{H}) = \oplus V_\Lambda$. As discussed in [17], they can be interpreted as oriented strings linking the 6 coincident sheets[9] of $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ with opposite flux. This is most obvious for the maximal weight state $|\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle = |\mu\rangle\langle\Omega\mu|$ in $End(\mathcal{H}_\mu)$, since the $|\mu\rangle$ can be interpreted as coherent state on a sheet of $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ localized at the origin. Then conjugate modes correspond to reversed links, which are identified by the hermiticity constraint. For negative mass $M_i^2 < 0$, the mode will be stabilized by the quartic terms in the interaction, thus leading to a non-trivial vacuum expectation value $\langle\phi_i^{(0)}\rangle \neq 0$. This should introduce a low-energy scale into the massless sector, as discussed below.

3.2 The deformed or mixing sector

Now we want to find the general solutions of the equation of motion for the fluctuations

$$\big(\Box_4 + m^2(\Box_X + 2I\!\!\!\phi_{\rm diag})\big)\phi \;+\; 2m^2 I\!\!\!\phi_{\rm mix}(x)\phi = 0 \tag{3.29}$$

where $\Box_4 = -D^\mu D_\mu$. The explicit x^μ-dependence of the mixing term is indicated by writing $I\!\!\!\phi_{\rm mix}(x)$. Writing the rotating background (2.18) in the form

$$X_\alpha = r_\alpha(e^{\omega_i x\tau_i}T)_\alpha \tag{3.30}$$

(sum over i is understood) where τ_i is the generator of the $U(1)_i \subset SO(6)_R$ rotation, we have

$$I\!\!\!\phi_{\rm mix}(x) = e^{\omega_i x\tau_i}\,\overline{I\!\!\!\phi}_{\rm mix}\,e^{-\omega_i x\tau_i} \tag{3.31}$$

where $\overline{I\!\!\!\phi}_{\rm mix}$ is independent of x. Accordingly, we make an ansatz

$$\phi_k^{(n)}(x) = e^{(ik+\omega_i\tau_i)x}\,\overline{\phi}^{(n)} + h.c. = e^{ipx}\overline{\phi}^{(n)} + h.c., \qquad p = k - i\omega_i\tau_i \tag{3.32}$$

for the excitation modes around the rotating background. Noting that $\tau = \sum_i \tau_i$ satisfies $\tau^2 = -\mathbb{1}$, equation (3.29) turns into the algebraic eigenvalue problem

$$(p^2 + m^2\mathcal{O}_V)\overline{\phi}^{(n)} = 0 \tag{3.33}$$

where

$$\mathcal{O}_V = \Box_X + 2I\!\!\!\phi_{\rm diag} + 2\overline{I\!\!\!\phi}_{\rm mix}\ . \tag{3.34}$$

Implementing (3.29) on a computer and restricting ourselves to $k_\mu = (k_0, \vec{0}) \sim \omega_\mu$ modes, we obtain the following spectrum on a minimal brane $\mathcal{C}[(1,0)]$

$$k_0^2 \in \{15\times 0, 12\times 8, 6\times 24, 6\times 32, 2\times 36, 48, 6\times 4(5+3\sqrt{3}), 6\times 4(5-3\sqrt{3})\}. \tag{3.35}$$

Unfortunately there is a sextet of modes with imaginary frequency $k_0^2 = 4(5-3\sqrt{3}) \approx -0.78$, which means that the background is classically unstable. However since this value is rather

[9] assuming that μ has no stabilizer in $\mathcal{W}$; for squashed $\mathbb{C}P^2_N$ they link the 3 intersecting sheets [17].

small, one may hope that these modes are stabilized by quantum effects[10] for moderate coupling. More detailed examination shows that these unstable modes arise from (mixtures of) the massive modes $6 \times (12 \pm 4\sqrt{3})$ on a non-rotating background (3.40). Hence a mild modification of these – e.g. through quantum corrections – might suffice to stabilize the background.

Let us discuss the kinematics of these modes in some more detail, ignoring the unstable modes for now. It is useful to observe that our time-dependent background admits a modified translation invariance $\mathcal{T}^4 \cong \mathbb{R}^4$, generated by

$$V_\mu = \partial_\mu - \omega_{i\mu}\tau_i \ . \tag{3.36}$$

Thus the above ansatz (3.32) states that the $\phi_k^{(n)}(x)$ are unitary[11] irreps of these generalized translations,

$$V_\mu \phi_k^{(n)}(x) = ik\phi_k^{(n)}(x) \ . \tag{3.37}$$

$\mathcal{T}^4$ is also respected in the interactions, because the $U(1)_i$ are part of the $SO(6)_R$ symmetry. Thus the generalized momentum V_μ resp. k_μ is conserved rather than the usual momentum p_μ associated to ∂_μ, and Poincare invariance is typically broken for the heavy sector. However, the zero modes $\phi_k^{(0)}(x)$ can be chosen as eigenfunctions not only of V_μ but also of the τ_i and ∂_μ, with

$$\partial_\mu \phi_k^{(0)\pm i}(x) = i(k_\mu \pm \omega_{i\mu})\, \phi_k^{(0)\pm i}(x), \qquad i = 1,2,3 \tag{3.38}$$

This is obvious due to their explicit form (3.15); these turn into the 12 modes with $k_0^2 = 8$ in (3.35). In terms of the usual momentum p_μ, they have an undeformed massless dispersion relation. Moreover, we will see that p_μ is conserved even in the interactions of the zero modes $\phi_k^{(0)}$. Therefore this zero mode sector could lead to standard Poincare-invariant physics, assuming the resonance modes get stabilized. All other modes have a modified dispersion relation, which would be acceptable if they become heavy due to quantum effects.

There is an interesting relation with the non-rotating case $\omega_i = 0$, which provides also some checks for these numerical results. Observe that $\mathcal{O}_V$ is unitarily equivalent to

$$\tau \mathcal{O}_V \tau^{-1} = \Box_X + 2\not{D}_{\text{diag}} - 2\not{D}_{mix} \ , \tag{3.39}$$

using $\tau D_{mix} \tau^{-1} = -D_{mix}$ (3.7). The operator on the rhs gives precisely the potential (B.7) considered in [17] in the presence of a cubic flux term[12] , which was shown to be positive semi-definite for all representations. Now for $\omega_i = 0$, we obtain the following spectrum of $\mathcal{O}_V$ for the minimal brane $\mathcal{C}[(1,0)]$:

$$\text{spec}\mathcal{O}_V = \{18 \times 0, 6 \times (12 - 4\sqrt{3}), 13 \times 8, 2 \times 12, 6 \times 16, 6 \times (12 + 4\sqrt{3}), 2 \times 20, 1 \times 24\} \tag{3.40}$$

This reproduces the spectrum of the potential (B.7) in [17], which has 6 exceptional zero modes in addition to the 12 regular zero modes. This provides, incidentally, a good starting point to compute the one-loop effective potential for squashed branes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM: although the static branes are not classical solutions, one can still ask the question whether they might be stabilized by quantum effects. We hope to pursue this question elsewhere.

[10] In particular, one expects an attractive interaction of such branes at one loop due to the relation with supergravity, which should help to stabilize them.

[11] except for the unstable modes, which are non-unitary representations.

[12] This is true only for the form (3.29), i.e. after dropping the "pure gauge" term $[X^\alpha, [X_\beta, .]]$ in (2.11).

3.3 Fermions

The spectrum of 4-dimensional fermions and their masses is governed by the Dirac operator on squashed $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}[\mu]$. In the time-dependent background, it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \not{D}_{(6)}\Psi = \sum_{a\in\mathcal{I}} \Delta_a[X_a,\Psi] &= 2\sum_{i=1}^{3}\Big(\Delta_i^-[X_i^+,.] + \Delta_i^+[X_i^-,.]\Big) \\ &= 2\sum_{i=1}^{3} r_i\Big(\Delta_i^- e^{i\omega_i x} ad_{T_i^+} + \Delta_i^+ e^{-i\omega_i x} ad_{T_i^-}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

where the spinorial ladder operators

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Delta_1^- &= \Delta_4 - i\Delta_5, \qquad & 2\Delta_1^+ &= \Delta_4 + i\Delta_5, \\ 2\Delta_2^- &= \Delta_6 + i\Delta_7, \qquad & 2\Delta_2^+ &= \Delta_6 - i\Delta_7, \\ 2\Delta_3^- &= \Delta_1 - i\Delta_2, \qquad & 2\Delta_3^+ &= \Delta_1 + i\Delta_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

satisfy

$$\{\Delta_i^-, \Delta_j^+\} = \delta_{ij}. \tag{3.43}$$

In particular, the partial chirality operator on $\mathbb{R}_i^2$ is given by

$$\chi_i \;=\; -2(\Delta_i^\dagger\Delta_i^- - \frac{1}{2}), \tag{3.44}$$

acting on the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ irreducible representation. Using the form (3.41), we can easily find the zero modes as in [17]: let Y_Λ^Λ be the highest weight vector of $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda \subset End(\mathcal{H}_\mu)$. Then

$$\not{D}_{(6)}\Psi_\Lambda = 0 \qquad \text{for} \qquad \Psi_\Lambda = |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle\, Y_\Lambda^\Lambda \ . \tag{3.45}$$

This follows immediately from the decomposition (3.41) of the Dirac operator, noting that

$$\Delta_i^+|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = 0. \tag{3.46}$$

Analogous zero modes $\not{D}_{(6)}\Psi_{\Lambda'} = 0$ are obtained for any extremal weight $\Lambda' \in \mathcal{W}\Lambda$ in V_Λ:

$$\Psi_{\Lambda'} = |s_1,s_2,s_3\rangle Y_{\Lambda'}^\Lambda \tag{3.47}$$

for suitable spin states $|s_1,s_2,s_3\rangle$. They can be obtained successively by applying a (generalized) Weyl reflection relating extremal weights Λ' in adjacent Weyl chambers as follows:

$$\Psi_{w_i\Lambda'} = \tilde{\omega}_i\cdot\Psi_{\Lambda'} := (\omega_i|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle)\,(w_i\cdot Y_{\Lambda'}^\Lambda) \ , \tag{3.48}$$

provided Λ' and $w_i\Lambda'$ are extremal weights of V_Λ in adjacent Weyl chambers. Here ω_i implements the Weyl reflection w_i on the internal spinor space $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 3}$ associated to the $\Delta_{1,\dots,6}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_i\Sigma_{(i)}\omega_i^{-1} &= -\Sigma_{(i)}, \qquad i = 1,2,3 \\ \omega_i\Sigma_{(j)}\omega_i^{-1} &= \Sigma_{(j)}, \qquad j\neq i \\ \Sigma_{(i)} &= \frac{1}{2}[\Delta_i^-,\Delta_i^+] = \frac{1}{2}\chi_i \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$



Figure 1: Fermionic zero modes in weight space with roots α_i, and chirality sectors C_L, C_R indicated by color.

For example if $\Lambda = \alpha_3$, then $\Psi_{w_3\Lambda} = \tilde{\omega}_2 \cdot \tilde{\omega}_3 \cdot \tilde{\omega}_1 \cdot \Psi_\Lambda$; note that the $\tilde{\omega}_i$ do not satisfy the Weyl group relations, but this is not a problem here. These spin states are visualized in figure 1, and fall into chirality classes C_L and C_R with well-defined internal chirality

$$\chi \Psi_{w\Lambda} = (-1)^{|w|} \Psi_{w\Lambda} \ . \tag{3.50}$$

These zero modes have definite chirality on $\mathbb{R}^4$, because Ψ is subject to the Majorana-Weyl condition $\Psi^C = \Psi = \Gamma\Psi$. Moreover, it was shown in [17] that the extremal modes Ψ_Λ and $\Psi_{-\Lambda}$ are related by (internal) charge conjugation and have opposite chirality,

$$C^{(6)} \Psi^*_\Lambda = \Psi_{-\Lambda}. \tag{3.51}$$

As long as Λ has no stabilizer in $\mathcal{W}$, we can denote them as $\Psi^\pm_i$, identifying Λ with the root $\alpha^\pm_i$ in the same Weyl chamber. Taking into account the Majorana-Weyl condition, this implies that the corresponding solutions of the full Dirac operator have the form [17]

$$\Psi_i(x) = \Psi^+_i \otimes \psi^i_+(x) + \Psi^-_i \otimes \psi^i_-(x), \tag{3.52}$$

where the four-dimensional spinors $\psi^i_\pm$ satisfy

$$\not{D}_{(4)} \psi^i_\pm(x) = 0, \qquad \gamma_5 \psi^i_\pm(x) = \pm \psi^i_\pm(x), \qquad (\psi^i_\pm(x))^C = \psi^i_\mp(x). \tag{3.53}$$

This means that the $\psi^i_\pm$ are not independent, as $\psi^i_+(x)$ determines $\psi^i_-(x)$. We can expand the general solution in terms of plane wave Weyl spinors $\psi^\pm_{i;k}(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^4$ with momentum k,

$$\Psi_i(x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{\omega_k} \big(\psi^+_{i;k}(x) \Psi^i_+ + \psi^-_{i;k}(x) \Psi^i_-\big), \qquad i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{3.54}$$

This can be viewed either in terms of three 4-dimensional Majorana spinors $\psi^+_i + \psi^-_i$, or three Weyl spinors ψ^+_i in 4D.

To summarize, the fermionic zero modes are in one-to-one correspondence with extremal weights Λ, with Λ related to $-\Lambda$ by charge conjugation precisely as the scalar zero modes. Hence these modes can be succinctly collected in terms of chiral $\mathcal{N}=1$ multiplets labeled by positive extremal Λ. Moreover, the chirality (3.50) of the fermionic zero modes is distinguished by their charges under the noncommutative gauge fields on squashed $\mathcal{C}^6_N[\mu]$ linked by $\Psi_{w\Lambda}$. This can be seen in figure 1 for the gauge field modes $A_\mu^{(3,8)}(x)T_{3,8}$ corresponding to the Cartan generators of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, which are among the lowest non-trivial gauge field modes according to section 3.4. It is even more obvious for the gauge fields corresponding to Θ (3.59), as discussed in the next section. In other words, different chiralities have different gauge couplings, which is a signature of a chiral model. Of course the total index $\mathrm{tr}\gamma_5$ of the zero modes vanishes and the model is guaranteed to te anomaly free, suggesting some left-right symmetric model. However, more interesting behavior is possible in suitable brane configurations, as explained in section 6.

We have also seen that in the case $r_i \neq r_j$, some of the scalar fields acquire a negative mass, which may lead to non-trivial vacuum expectation values and mass terms for these fermions.

If Λ has non-trivial stabilizer $w_i \in \mathcal{W}$, the above analysis goes through, but with two fermionic zero modes with opposite chirality for each (positive) extremal weight Λ. There is a similar doubling for the scalar zero modes, since then Λ is in the Weyl chamber opposite to two roots. Therefore the fermionic and bosonic zero modes can still be grouped into chiral supermultiplets with opposite chirality. Finally, the $\Lambda = 0$ modes correspond to a $\mathcal{N}=4$ supermultiplet of trivial $\Lambda = 0$ modes.

3.4 Gauge bosons

The 4-dimensional gauge bosons $A_\mu(x)$ decompose into eigenmodes of the scalar Laplacian $\Box_X$. Since the scalar Laplacian is time-independent, all these modes are governed by a standard 4-dimensional kinematics, in contrast to the heavy Higgs modes discussed above. It is easy to compute its spectrum for equal $r_i = r$, observing that $\Box_X = r^2(C_2 - [H_3,[H_3,.]] - [H_8,[H_8,.]])$ where C_2 is the quadratic Casimir of $SU(3)$. This gives

$$\Box_X |M,\Lambda\rangle = 2r^2 \lambda_{\Lambda,M} |M,\Lambda\rangle, \qquad \lambda_{\Lambda,M} = (\Lambda, \Lambda+2\rho) - (M,M) \tag{3.55}$$

so that the $|M,\Lambda\rangle$ states are indeed eigenstates of $\Box_X$. As a check, we recover

$$\Box_X X_i^\pm = 2r^2(\Lambda, 2\rho) X_i^\pm = 8r^2 X_i^\pm \tag{3.56}$$

since $X_i^\pm \in |\pm\Lambda, \Lambda\rangle$ with $\Lambda = \rho = \alpha_3$ and $(\alpha_3,\alpha_3) = 2$. As shown in [17], it follows that the only zero modes are those with $M = \Lambda = 0$, while the lowest non-vanishing eigenvalues arise for $M = w\Lambda$ with eigenvalues $4(\Lambda,\rho) \geq 8r^2$. Via the Higgs effect elaborated in (4.32), these modes acquire a mass

$$m_A^2 = 2m^2 r^2 \lambda_{\Lambda,M} \;=\; -\frac{1}{4}\omega^2 \lambda_{\Lambda,M} \tag{3.57}$$

using (2.22) and assuming that $\omega_i^2 = \omega^2$.

We will see in section 4.4 that only the the $M = 0$ gauge modes couple to the currents arising from the low-energy sector of fermions linking point branes with $\mathcal{C}[\mu]$; these will be of primary interested from the particle physics perspective. The lowest such modes are the two

weight zero modes in $\Lambda = (1,1)$ given by the Cartan generators $H_3, H_8 \in \mathfrak{su}(3)$, with mass $m_A^2 = 12m^2r^2$. However let us focus on the next-lowest modes given by the $M = 0$ modes in $(0,3)$ and $(3,0)$, which lead to particularly interesting chiral gauge fields. They arise in the algebra of functions on $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ as follows: Consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ab} &= \varepsilon^{(8)}_{abcdefgh} T^c T^d T^e T^f T^g T^h = \frac{1}{8}\varepsilon^{(8)}_{abcdefgh}[T^c, T^d][T^e, T^f][T^g, T^h] = -E_{ba} \\ &= h_{abcde} T^c T^d T^e \end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

with $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ indices in $\{1, ..., 8\}$, which form a multiplet $(8) \wedge (8) = (3,0) \oplus (0,3) \oplus (1,1)$. It is not hard to see that the $(1,1)$ components do not occur[13], so that the E^{ab} decompose into $(3,0) \oplus (0,3)$. We focus on the two $M = 0$ modes in $(3,0)$ and $(0,3)$. Having weight zero means that they commute with the Cartan generators H_α, and diagonalize on the extremal weight states in $End(\mathcal{H})$. One hermitian combination of these is given by the orientation form Θ, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta &:= iE_{3,8} = i\varepsilon^{(6)}_{abcdef} T^a T^b T^c T^d T^e T^f = \frac{i}{8}\varepsilon^{(6)}_{abcdef}[T^a, T^b][T^c, T^d][T^e, T^f] \\ &\sim \frac{1}{8}\varepsilon^{(6)}_{abcdef}\{x^a, x^b\}\{x^c, x^d\}\{x^e, x^f\} = \mathrm{Pf}\theta^{ab} \end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

with indices restricted to the roots. Here $\theta^{ab} = \{x^a, x^b\}$ is the Poisson structure on $\mathcal{C}[\mu]$ with coordinate functions x^a arising form the matrices T^a in the semi-classical limit. This is invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ subgroup of Weyl rotations, odd under reflections, and reduces in the semi-classical limit to the (symplectic) orientation form $\mathrm{Pf}\theta^{ab}$ on the left- and right-handed sheets C_L and C_R of the 6-dimensional branes $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ [17]. Therefore Θ takes eigenvalues $\pm c$ on the left- and right-handed maximal states $\Psi_{\Lambda'}$, which is a crucial ingredient of a chiral gauge theory[14].

Finally for different r_i , it is clear that the trivial zero modes $M = \Lambda = 0$ persist as above, and the massive modes will get somewhat deformed, but preserving the qualitative features as long as $r_i \approx r$. The $M = \Lambda = 0$ modes become interesting in the case of n coincident branes, where the turn into nonabelian $U(n)$ gauge bosons.

4 Interactions

4.1 Scalar self-interactions and nontrivial Higgs

Now consider the interactions of the scalar zero modes discussed in section 3.1. The quartic and cubic self-interactions are easily obtained from (2.7),

$$V_{\text{int}}(\phi) = \frac{m^4}{g^4}\mathrm{tr}\Big(X_\alpha \Box_\phi \phi^\alpha - \frac{1}{4}[\phi_\alpha, \phi_\beta][\phi^\alpha, \phi^\beta]\Big) \tag{4.1}$$

[13] they could only be $\sim f^{ab}_c T^c$, however this is ruled out by $\mathrm{tr}(\varepsilon^{(8)}_{abcdefgh} T^a T^b T^c T^d T^e T^f T^g T^h) = 0$.

[14] The other hermitian combination is given by any of the three forms $\Xi := E_{\alpha_1,-\alpha_1} \sim E_{\alpha_2,-\alpha_2} \sim -E_{\alpha_3,-\alpha_3}$, which is invariant under the full Weyl group (since e.g. $w_1 E_{\alpha_1,-\alpha_1} = E_{\alpha_1,-\alpha_1}$.). Numerical computations indicate that Ξ vanishes on the extremal states of $\mathcal{H}_\mu$, at least for small μ.

The quartic term will stabilize the (would-be) zero modes. The cubic term coupling to X_α turns out to vanish identically for the zero modes. This can be seen by writing it different ways

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}X^\alpha\Box_\phi\phi_\alpha &= \mathrm{tr}[X_\alpha,\phi_\beta][\phi^\alpha,\phi^\beta]\\ &= -\mathrm{tr}\phi_\beta[[\phi^\alpha,\phi^\beta],X_\alpha] = \mathrm{tr}\phi_\beta\Big([[\phi^\beta,X_\alpha],\phi^\alpha]+[[X_\alpha,\phi^\alpha],\phi^\beta]\Big)\\ &= -2\mathrm{tr}\phi_\beta[[\phi_{-\beta},X_\alpha],\phi^\alpha]\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

using the Jacobi identity, $\phi^\beta = 2\phi_{-\beta}$, and the gauge-fixing condition

$$[X^\alpha,\phi_\alpha] = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

which holds for the zero modes discussed in section 3.1. Now recall that $[X_\alpha,\phi_\beta] = 0$ if $\alpha+\beta\in\mathcal{I}$ or $\alpha+\beta=0$, due to (3.12); this amounts to the extremal weight property of the zero modes. Similarly $[X_\alpha,\phi_{-\beta}]=0$ if $\alpha-\beta\in\mathcal{I}$ or $\alpha-\beta=0$. One of the two conditions is always satisfied for any pair of roots α,β of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ (notice that the indices were not renamed in rewriting (4.2)). Therefore this cubic term vanishes identically for the zero modes, which therefore completely decouple from the rotating background, as long as interactions with the "heavy" excitation modes can be neglected.

Now we want to see if some of these would-be zero modes ϕ_α can acquire a non-vanishing time-independent VEV, denoted as "non-trivial Higgs" solutions. We should accordingly obtain the equations of motion in the presence of such $\phi_\alpha\neq 0$, separating the time-dependent X_α from the time-independent ϕ_α. Consider first the variation of the cubic terms:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}X_\alpha\delta\Box_\phi\phi^\alpha &= \mathrm{tr}X_\alpha\Big([\delta\phi_\beta,[\phi^\beta,\phi^\alpha]]+[\phi_\beta,[\delta\phi^\beta,\phi^\alpha]]\Big)\\ &= \mathrm{tr}\delta\phi_\beta\Big(-2[X_\alpha,[\phi^\beta,\phi^\alpha]]-[\phi^\beta,[\phi^\alpha,X_\alpha]]\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

using the Jacobi identity. Using again the gauge-fixing condition, it follows

$$\delta_\phi V_{\mathrm{int}}[\phi] = \frac{m^4}{g^4}\mathrm{tr}\delta\phi^\alpha\Big(2[[\phi_\alpha,\phi^\beta],X_\beta]+\Box_\phi X_\alpha+\Box_\phi\phi_\alpha\Big). \tag{4.5}$$

Thus the full equation of motion for our background with non-vanishing Higgs can written compactly as

$$\big(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2}+\Box_X+\Box_\phi+2\not{D}^\phi_{ad}\big)X_\alpha+\big(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2}+\Box_X+\Box_\phi+2\not{D}^X_{ad}\big)\phi_\alpha = 0 \tag{4.6}$$

where $\not{D}^X_{ad}\equiv\not{D}_{ad}$, and we introduce

$$\begin{aligned}(\not{D}^\phi_{ad}X)_\alpha &= \sum_\beta[[\phi_\alpha,\phi^\beta],X_\beta] = ((\not{D}^\phi_{\mathrm{mix}}+\not{D}^\phi_{\mathrm{diag}})X)_\alpha\\ (\not{D}^\phi_{\mathrm{mix}}X)_\alpha &:= \sum_{\beta\neq\alpha}[[\phi_\alpha,\phi^\beta],X_\beta]\\ (\not{D}^\phi_{\mathrm{diag}}X)_\alpha &= 2[[\phi_\alpha,\phi_{-\alpha}],X_\alpha]\qquad\text{(no sum)}\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

in analogy to section 3. To maintain the decoupling between the rotating background X_α and the low-energy Higgs ϕ_α, we should therefore require that

$$\not{D}^{\phi}_{\rm mix} X_\alpha = 0 \tag{4.8}$$

in addition to (3.16). Then the above equations of motion take the symmetric form

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2} + \Box_X + \Box_\phi + 2\not{D}^{\phi}_{\rm diag}\big) X_\alpha = 0 \\
\big(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2} + \Box_\phi + \Box_X + 2\not{D}^{X}_{\rm diag}\big) \phi_\alpha = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

For illustration, we elaborate one non-trivial solution based on the lowest zero modes of type (3.17), given by

$$\phi_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha \, T_{-\alpha}. \tag{4.10}$$

with real-valued $\varphi_\alpha = \varphi_{-\alpha}$. Then $\not{D}^{\phi}_{\rm mix} X_\alpha = 0$ holds for precisely the same reason as $\not{D}^{X}_{\rm mix}\phi_\alpha = 0$, as discussed in section 3.1. By literally repeating the computation leading to M^2_α in (3.22), we obtain

$$(\Box_\phi + 2\not{D}^{\phi}_{\rm diag}) X_{\pm\alpha_i} = M^X_i X_{\pm\alpha_i}, \tag{4.11}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
M^X_3 &= 2\big(\varphi_1^2\alpha_1 + \varphi_2^2\alpha_2 - \varphi_3^2\alpha_3, \alpha_3\big) = 2(\varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 - 2\varphi_3^2), \\
M^X_2 &= 2\big(\varphi_1^2\alpha_1 + \varphi_2^2\alpha_2 - \varphi_3^2\alpha_3, -\alpha_2\big) = 2(\varphi_1^2 - 2\varphi_2^2 + \varphi_3^2), \\
M^X_1 &= 2\big(\varphi_1^2\alpha_1 + \varphi_2^2\alpha_2 - \varphi_3^2\alpha_3, -\alpha_1\big) = 2(-2\varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 + \varphi_3^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

the equations of motion become

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2} + \Box_X + M^X_\alpha\big) X^\pm_i = 0, \\
\big(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2} + \Box_\phi + M^\phi_\alpha\big) \phi^\pm_i = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where $M^\phi_i = M^\phi_{\pm\alpha_i}$ is the eigenvalue of $(\Box_X + 2\not{D}^X_{ad})$ given by (3.26). Using also (2.20) and its analog

$$\begin{aligned}
\Box_\phi \phi^\pm_1 &= 2(2\varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 + \varphi_3^2)\phi^\pm_1, \\
\Box_\phi \phi^\pm_2 &= 2(\varphi_1^2 + 2\varphi_2^2 + \varphi_3^2)\phi^\pm_2, \\
\Box_\phi \phi^\pm_3 &= 2(\varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 + 2\varphi_3^2)\phi^\pm_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

we obtain the eom[15]

$$\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 1 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -2 \\
-2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix} r_1^2 \\ r_2^2 \\ r_3^2 \\ \varphi_1^2 \\ \varphi_2^2 \\ \varphi_3^2 \end{pmatrix}
= -\frac{1}{2m^2}
\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1^2 \\ \omega_1^2 \\ \omega_1^2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.15}$$

[15]The equations in φ_i are actually cubic, and we only display the reduced form assuming that all $\varphi_i \neq 0$.

Assuming that $\varphi_i^2 > 0$ for all i, the last three equations lead to an inconsistency, which reflects the sum rule $M_1^\phi + M_2^\phi + M_3^\phi = 0$ (3.27). Therefore either one or two of the φ_i must vanish. It turns out that there is no solution with two non-vanishing φ_i, but there is a solution with $\varphi_3 \neq 0$ and $\varphi_1 = 0 = \varphi_2$, given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1^2 \\ r_2^2 \\ r_3^2 \\ \varphi_3^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{8m^2} \begin{pmatrix} -2\omega_1^2 + 2\omega_2^2 - \omega_3^2 \\ 2\omega_1^2 - 2\omega_2^2 - \omega_3^2 \\ -\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2 \\ -\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + 2\omega_3^2 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{4.16}$$

The r_i^2 are indeed positive if all $\omega_{i,\mu}$ are approximately equal and timelike, and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_3^2 &= \frac{1}{8m^2}(2\omega_3^2 - \omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}(r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_3^2) = -\frac{1}{4}M_3^\phi \ > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

must also be positive, which is consistent with $M_3^\phi < 0$ (3.26). This holds for suitable frequencies ω_i, which we assume here. Hence the scale of the Higgs φ is set by the *difference* of the rotation frequencies

$$m^2\varphi^2 \sim \frac{1}{4}\Delta\omega_i^2 \ . \tag{4.18}$$

This is a classical quantity imprinted in the background, which is naturally small. In principle, this offers an interesting mechanism to introduce a stable hierarchy into the model. Unfortunately, it turns out that the above solutions (4.16) have non-vanishing gauge current $\mathcal{J}_\mu \neq 0$, hence a gauge field is induced which will modify the background. Moreover, there are other possible Higgs modes which acquire a negative mass, e.g. some of the modes (3.17)

$$\phi_i^{\pm(n)} = Y^{n\alpha_3}_{\mp n\alpha_i} \ \sim \ (T_i^\mp)^n. \tag{4.19}$$

which will play a role below. Therefore a more general ansatz is required, and finding a consistent Higgs configuration is a challenging task. Nevertheless such a mechanism is clearly at work, and it might provide an interesting way to introduce scales and a hierarchy into the simple model.

4.2 Higgs background and Yukawa couplings

Now consider the interaction of the fermionic zero modes with some of the above Higgs zero modes; we shall also denote them as "would-be zero modes" henceforth, since they may acquire a mass in the presence of a Higgs. The Yukawa couplings between fermions and Higgs modes arise from

$$\begin{aligned} m \,\mathrm{Tr}\, \overline{\Psi}\gamma_5 \not{D}_{(6)} \Psi &= m \,\mathrm{Tr}\, \overline{\Psi}\gamma_5 \Delta^\alpha[\phi_\alpha, \Psi] \\ &= 2m \sum_{i=1}^{3} \bar{\Psi}\gamma_5 \Big(\Delta_i^-[\phi_i^+, .] + \Delta_i^+[\phi_i^-, .] \Big) \Psi \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where Ψ is subject to the Majorana-Weyl condition $\Psi^C = \Psi = \Gamma\Psi$. We focus on the contribution from the fermionic zero modes

$$\Psi_{\Lambda'} = |s_1 s_2 s_3\rangle \, Y^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda'} \tag{4.21}$$

as in (3.47). Here $Y^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda'}$ is an extremal weight state in V_Λ. Now assume that due to a negative mass term, some of the following Higgs modes on $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ are switched on, such as

$$\phi_i^{\pm(n)} = \varphi_i^{(n)} \, (T_i^{\mp})^n \tag{4.22}$$

as discussed above. For suitable n (which may depend on i, Λ), these $\phi_i^{\pm(n)}$ relate extremal weights $\Lambda' \in \mathcal{W}\Lambda$ of V_Λ in adjacent Weyl chambers,

$$[(T_i^{\mp})^n, Y^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda'}] \;\sim\; w_i \cdot Y^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda'} = Y^{\Lambda}_{w_i\Lambda'} \tag{4.23}$$

where w_i is the Weyl reflection along α_i. Moreover, $\Delta_i^{\pm}$ implements the same Weyl reflection of the spinors as defined in (3.49), e.g.

$$\Delta_i^-|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = \tilde{\omega}_i \cdot |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3 \; . \tag{4.24}$$

It is then easy to see that

$$(\Delta_i^-[\phi_i^+, .] + \Delta_i^+[\phi_i^-, .])\Psi_{\Lambda'} \;\sim\; \varphi_i^{(n)} \tilde{\omega}_i \cdot \Psi_{\Lambda'} \tag{4.25}$$

for fermionic zero modes $\Psi_{\Lambda'}$ and appropriate n (and only for those). As discussed in section 3.3, $\tilde{\omega}_i \cdot \Psi_{\Lambda'}$ is the zero mode $\Psi_{w_i\Lambda'}$ if $w_i\Lambda'$ is adjacent to Λ', but not otherwise; for example if $\Lambda = \alpha_3$, then $\tilde{\omega}_3 \cdot \Psi_\Lambda \neq \Psi_{w_3\Lambda} = \tilde{\omega}_2 \cdot \tilde{\omega}_3 \cdot \tilde{\omega}_1 \cdot \Psi_\Lambda$, hence $\tilde{\omega}_3 \cdot \Psi_\Lambda$ is not a zero mode. Therefore we obtain Yukawa couplings

$$m \, \mathrm{tr} \bar{\Psi}\gamma_5 \not{D}_{(6)} \Psi \;\sim\; 2m \sum_{i,n,\Lambda''=w_i\Lambda'} \mathrm{tr} \bar{\Psi}_{\Lambda''}\gamma_5 \; \varphi_i^{(n)} \tilde{\omega}_i \cdot \Psi_{\Lambda'} \tag{4.26}$$

relating adjacent fermionic zero modes with the appropriate $\varphi_i^{(n)}$. There are also terms coupling the fermionic zero modes to various heavy fermionic modes, which presumably can be neglected at low energies.

To summarize, extremal fermionic zero modes in adjacent Weyl chambers related by a Weyl reflection acquire a mass in the presence of a suitable Higgs mode $\langle\varphi_i^{(n)}\rangle \neq 0$, which links their weights. Taking into account the results of previous section, this mass is expected to be of order

$$m_\Psi^2 \sim \Delta\omega_i^2. \tag{4.27}$$

This will give mass to the off-diagonal standard-model-like fermions in section 6. Similar mass terms may arise more generally for fermionic zero modes in adjacent Weyl chambers linked by other Higgs fields, provided the corresponding cubic matrix elements $\mathrm{tr} \bar{Y}^{\Lambda'}_{w'\Lambda'}[\phi, Y^{\Lambda}_{w\Lambda}]$ are non-vanishing; this boils down to a representation-theoretic question. Thus on a given large $\mathcal{C}[\mu]$ brane, there are many possible Yukawas coupling the fermionic zero modes via the various Higgs, which suggests that they tend to be heavy. The detailed structure is clearly complicated.

4.3 Fermionic currents and coupling to gauge bosons

The interaction of the fermionic zero modes with the gauge bosons is given by

$$S_A(\Psi) = \mathrm{Tr}\, \bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu[A_\mu, \Psi] = \mathrm{Tr}\, J_M^{\mu\Lambda}\, A_\mu^{\Lambda,M} \tag{4.28}$$

where

$$J_M^{\mu\Lambda}(x) = \bar{\Psi}(x)\gamma^\mu[Y_M^\Lambda, \Psi(x)] \tag{4.29}$$

and we decompose the gauge field into the $|M,\Lambda\rangle$ modes in $End(\mathcal{H}_\mu)$,

$$A_\mu(x) = \sum A_\mu^{\Lambda,M}(x) Y_M^\Lambda \tag{4.30}$$

We are primarily interested in the currents which arise within the low-energy sector, due to fermionic (would-be) zero modes $\Psi_{\Lambda'}$ with extremal weights Λ' in $End(\mathcal{H})$ as discussed above. Since $J_M^{\mu\Lambda}$ does not involve any internal Clifford generators, both fermions must have the same internal spins, hence their extremal weights Λ, Λ' must be in the same Weyl chamber (see figure 1); for example, both must be highest weights. Thus $J_M^{\mu\Lambda} \neq 0$ only if either $M = 0$, or if M relates different extremal weights Λ' in the same Weyl chamber. For the fermions on a given $\mathcal{C}[\mu]$ brane, this may hold for various currents $J_M^{\mu\Lambda}$ with $M \neq 0$, if the corresponding cubic matrix elements $\mathrm{tr}\bar{Y}_{w\Lambda'}^{\Lambda'}[Y_M, Y_{w\Lambda}^\Lambda]$ are non-vanishing. For large branes $\mathcal{C}[\mu]$, this leads to a complicated structure of mutually coupled gauge and scalar fields.

However, we will be mainly interested in fermions linking a $\mathcal{C}[\mu]$ brane with a point brane, as discussed below. Then there is only one extremal weight μ in each Weyl chamber, so that only currents $J_{M=0}^{\mu\Lambda}$ with $M = 0$ can arise. They couple only to gauge bosons $A_\mu \sim Y_0^\Lambda$ with weight $M = 0$. The mass of these gauge bosons is given by $m_A^2 = 2m^2r^2(\Lambda, \Lambda + 2\rho)$ using (3.57), assuming $r_i \approx r$. The most interesting such modes are the χ_L and χ_R modes identified in section 3.4, which couple to left resp. right-handed fermionic zero modes C_L resp. C_R of the extremal fermions Ψ_Λ. This is a crucial ingredient of a chiral gauge theory.

4.4 Higgs – gauge bosons coupling

The interaction of the scalar fields with the gauge bosons arises from their kinetic term, via

$$D_\mu\Phi_i^+ = m\mu(X_i^+ + \phi_i^+) = m(i\omega_{i\mu}X_i^+ + D_\mu\phi_i^+ - i[A_\mu, X_i^+])\ . \tag{4.31}$$

Keeping only the quadratic terms in the fields, this leads to

$$-\int d^4x \frac{1}{g^2}\mathrm{tr}D_\mu\Phi_i^+ D^\mu\Phi_i^- = -\int d^4x \frac{m^2}{g^2}\mathrm{tr}\big(\omega_i^2 X_i^+X_i^- + D_\mu\phi_i^+D^\mu\phi_i^- + \frac{1}{2}A_\mu\Box_X A^\mu\big) \tag{4.32}$$

after some straightforward algebra, using the decoupling property $[X_i^+, \phi_i^-] = 0 = [X_i^-, \phi_i^+]$ (cf. (4.3)) as well as $\mathrm{tr}X_i^+\phi_i^- = 0 = \mathrm{tr}X_i^-\phi_i^+$ (since $X_i^+\phi_i^-$ has non-zero weight). The first term is the kinetic energy of the rotating background. The last term leads to the mass m_A^2 (3.57) of the gauge fields in terms of the eigenvalues of $m^2\Box_X$, as anticipated. The canonically rescaled scalar fields are hence given by

$$\tilde{\phi}_i^\pm = \frac{m}{g}\phi_i^\pm. \tag{4.33}$$

As usual, the cubic and quartic terms will lead to interactions of Higgs fluctuations and the gauge fields, and the rotation drops out. As discussed in section 2.1, there is no linear term $\mathrm{tr} A^\mu \mathcal{J}_\mu$ since we require $\mathcal{J}_\mu = 0$, which holds in particular for $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = -\omega_3$ and $r_1 = r_2 = r_3$. In the presence of a non-trivial Higgs VEV ϕ_α, the higher-order terms in ϕ_α must of course be included, however this is beyond the scope of this paper.

5 Stacks of branes

Now consider fermions in a background which consists of a sum of different branes of the above type, such as

$$X^a = \begin{pmatrix} X^a_{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & X^a_{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{11} & \Psi_{12} \\ \Psi_{21} & \Psi_{22} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{5.1}$$

Although a priori the different blocks might have independent parameters, stability suggests that the rotation vectors ω_i are the same for all branes, and for simplicity we assume that also the r_i are the same. Then the above X^a can be written in the familiar form

$$X^\pm_i = r_i e^{\pm i\omega_i x} T^\pm_i \tag{5.2}$$

where T^a acts on the reducible representation $\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda_1} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\Lambda_2}$. Some blocks may be trivial, with $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{C}$ and $T^a = 0$, corresponding to point branes located at the origin. The fermions on the diagonal blocks behave as discussed above. On a point brane, there are only trivial fermionic zero modes $\Lambda = 0$ with degenerate spin states. The off-diagonal fermions can be written as $\Psi_{12} = \sum |s_i\rangle|\mu_1, \Lambda_1\rangle\langle\mu_2, \Lambda_2|$, and live in $\mathcal{H}_{12} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2^*$. Similarly Ψ_{21} lives in $\mathcal{H}_{21} = \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1^*$, but this is redundant due to the M-W constraint $\Psi_{21} = \Psi_{12}^C$. Therefore all our results from section 3.3 on fermionic and bosonic zero modes carry over directly to the off-diagonal blocks: The (would-be) zero modes can be collected into $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supermultiplets of trivial $\Lambda = 0$ modes, as well as chiral supermultiplets labeled by the extremal weights Λ in $End(V_\mu)$. On a stack of squashed $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu_i]$ branes, this leads to a quiver gauge theory, with gauge group $U(n_i)$ on each vertex μ_i and arrows labeled by Λ corresponding to the chiral supermultiplets in $Hom(V_{\mu_i}, V_{\mu_j})$ with extremal weights Λ. These fields transform in the bi-fundamental $(n_i) \otimes (\bar{n}_j)$ of $U(n_i) \times U(n_j)$. Charge conjugation relates the modes Λ and $\Omega\Lambda$ with reversed arrow, i.e. $Hom(V_{\mu_i}, V_{\mu_j})$ with $Hom(V_{\mu_j}, V_{\mu_i})$, so that these fields are not independent.

The case of a squashed $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ brane and a point brane is particularly interesting:

5.1 Point branes attached to squashed brane

Consider a background consisting of a $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ brane and a point brane at the origin:

$$X^\pm_i = \begin{pmatrix} X^\pm_{i(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{5.3}$$

The first block is given by $End(\mathcal{H}_1)$ with $\mathcal{H}_1 \cong V_\mu$ a highest weight module with highest weight μ. The off-diagonal modes live in $\mathcal{H}_{12} = Hom(\mathbb{C}, V_\mu) \cong V_\mu$ and $\mathcal{H}_{21} = Hom(V_\mu, \mathbb{C}) \cong V_\mu^*$,

with extremal weights $\Lambda \in \mathcal{W}\mu$ resp. $\Lambda \in -\mathcal{W}\mu$. This leads to 6 independent off-diagonal fermionic and bosonic zero modes.

Let us discuss the fermionic zero modes Ψ^{12}_μ in more detail. Using the above results, they have the form

$$\Psi^{12}_\mu = |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle|\mu\rangle_1 \, \psi^{12}_\mu, \tag{5.4}$$

along with 5 additional zero modes $\Psi^{12}_{w\cdot\mu}$ obtained by acting on Ψ^{12}_μ with suitable reflections. Their chirality is determined by the sign $|w|$ of the Weyl group element w. This leads to 3 left-handed fermions denoted by C_L, and 3 right-handed fermions called C_R, as in figure 1.

Let us estimate the energy scale of the heavy off-diagonal Ψ^{12}. Since they all live in the irreducible representation V_μ, the mass terms arising from $m\not{D}$ should lead to

$$m^2_{\Psi^{12}_{\text{heavy}}} \approx \frac{1}{8}|\mu|^2\omega^2 \tag{5.5}$$

which is very large for large branes $\mathcal{C}[\mu]$. Then the scale of the off-diagonal heavy fermions is much larger than the mass of the relevant gauge bosons in $(0,3)$ resp. $(3,0)$ discussed in section 4.3 of order $|\omega|$. This is important in the approach to the standard model discussed below.

Now assume that some Higgs modes $\phi_i^{\pm(n)} = \varphi_i^{(n)} (T_i^{\mp})^n$ on the brane $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ are switched on. Using the results of section 4.2, this leads to Yukawa couplings (4.26) relating adjacent fermionic zero modes Ψ^{12}_μ. Thus the off-diagonal fermionic zero modes acquire a mass in the presence of a suitable Higgs mode $\langle\varphi_i^{(n)}\rangle \neq 0$ which links adjacent modes. No other fields on $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ can induce such a mass term, and the couplings between the fermionic zero modes and various heavy fermionic modes can presumably be neglected at low energies. Hence the off-diagonal sector is relatively simple.

5.1.1 Off-diagonal Higgs S_{12}

The off-diagonal scalar modes are parametrized as

$$X_i^{\pm} = \bar{X}_i^{\pm} \; + \; \phi_i^{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{\pm}_{i(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S^{\pm}_{i(12)} \\ S^{\pm}_{i(21)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.6}$$

with $\phi_i^{\pm} = (\phi_i^{\mp})^\dagger$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{(12)} = V_\mu$ and $\mathcal{H}_{(21)} = V_\mu^*$, we can apply the general results in section 3.1: There are 6 complex scalar (would-be) zero modes denoted as[16]

$$S^{\pm}_{i(12)} = h_i^{\pm}|\mu_i^{\pm}\rangle \tag{5.7}$$

with extremal weights $\mu_i^{\pm} \in \mathcal{W}\mu$ in the Weyl chamber opposite to $\pm\alpha_i$. The other off-diagonal modes are then determined by the hermiticity constraint,

$$S^{\pm}_{i(21)} = (S^{\mp}_{i(12)})^\dagger = (h_i^{\mp})^*\langle\mu_i^{\mp}| \; . \tag{5.8}$$

This has the extremal weight $-\mu_i^{\mp}$ in the Weyl chamber opposite to $\pm\alpha_i$ (just like $\mu_i^{\pm}$), corresponding to the 6 (would-be) zero modes in $\mathcal{H}_{(21)} = V_\mu^* = V_{\bar{\mu}}$. Therefore the off-diagonal

[16]The notation S is used to emphasize the analogy with the S Higgs in [14].

low-energy modes correspond to the extremal weights in complex representations $V_\mu \oplus V_{\bar\mu}$, subject to the above hermiticity constraint.

If the ω_i are different, some of these off-diagonal modes acquire again a negative mass. To find solutions with $\langle S_i^\pm\rangle \neq 0$, we can use the results in section 4.1 for the matrix $\phi_i^\pm$. The extremal weight properties again imply $\not{D}^\phi_{\rm mix} X = 0 = \not{D}^X_{\rm mix}\phi$, so that the eom (4.9) applies. In analogy to the solution in section 4.1, we assume that $\phi^\pm_{1,2} = 0$ and $\phi^\pm_3 \neq 0$. However, the hermiticity conditions now relates *different* off-diagonal modes, and it is possible to have $S_{\alpha(12)} \neq 0$ while $S_{-\alpha(12)} = 0$; this will be important in section 6. Thus we make the ansatz

$$\phi_3^+ = \varphi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\mu_3^+\rangle \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \phi_3^- = \varphi^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \langle\mu_3^+| & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.9}$$

and all other $\phi_i^\pm = 0$. Then

$$[\phi_3^+, \phi_3^-] = |\varphi|^2 \begin{pmatrix} |\mu_3^+\rangle\langle\mu_3^+| & \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = -[\phi_3^-, \phi_3^+] \tag{5.10}$$

using $\langle\mu_3^+|\mu_3^+\rangle = 1$, and all other $[\phi_\alpha, \phi_\beta] = 0$. Therefore the equation of motion (4.9) are

$$\begin{aligned} \big(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2} + \Box_X + \Box_\phi\big) X_i^+ &= 0, \quad i = 1,2 \\ \big(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2} + \Box_X + \Box_\phi + 2\not{D}^\phi_{\rm diag}\big) X_3^\pm &= 0, \\ \big(\frac{\Box_4}{m^2} + \Box_\phi + \Box_X + 2\not{D}^X_{\rm diag}\big) \phi_3^\pm &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \not{D}^\phi_{\rm diag} X_3^\pm &= \pm 2|\varphi|^2 [P_+, X_3^\pm], \qquad P_+ = \begin{pmatrix} |\mu_3^+\rangle\langle\mu_3^+| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Box_\phi \phi_3^\pm &= 4|\varphi|^2 \phi_3^\pm, \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

We also know $(\Box_X + 2\not{D}^X_{\rm diag})\phi_3^\pm = M_3^\phi \phi_3^\pm$ from section 3.1, with

$$M_3^\phi = 2\big(r_1^2\alpha_1 + r_2^2\alpha_2 - r_3^2\alpha_3, \mu\big). \tag{5.13}$$

Therefore the last equation in (5.11) would be easy to handle. On the other hand, the first equations in (5.11) together with

$$\Box_\phi X_i^\pm = 2|\varphi|^2 (P_+ X_i^\pm + X_i^\pm P_+) \tag{5.14}$$

require a modification of the matrix elements of $X_i^\pm$. The ladder structure of $X_i^\pm$ and hence the crucial decoupling properties should be preserved, since P_+ is diagonal in weight space. Finding a solution should therefore not be too hard, but we postpone this to future work. An additional complication arises in the presence of non-vanishing Higgses $\phi_i^{(0)}$ on $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$.

The important point is that it is possible to have Higgs configurations with $S^+_{3(12)} \neq 0$ but $S^-_{3(12)} = 0$, involving $|\mu_3^+\rangle$ but not $|\mu_3^-\rangle$. Since the $|\mu_3^+\rangle$ states are localized on one specific sheet C_R (or C_L) of $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ with specific orientation, such a configuration breaks the symmetry between the opposite chiralities, leading to a chiral low-energy gauge theory with fermions of different chirality behaving differently. We will briefly discuss in in section 6 how one might obtain in this way an effective low-energy behavior resembling the standard model.

5.1.2 Yukawas for off-diagonal fermions and Higgs

Finally we want to understand the impact of an off-diagonal Higgs $S^a_{(12)}$ as in (5.6) to the off-diagonal fermions Ψ^{12}_μ. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\big[S^+_{i(21)}, \Psi^{12}_\mu\big] &= (h^-_i)^*\big[\langle\mu^-_i|, |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle|\mu\rangle_1\big] \\ &= (h^-_i)^*\Big(\langle\mu^-_i|\mu\rangle\, \mathbb{1}_2 - |\mu\rangle_1\langle\mu^-_i|_1\Big)|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle\psi^{12}_\mu \\ \big[S^+_{i(12)}, \Psi^{21}_\mu\big] &= h^+_i\Big(\langle\mu|\mu^+_i\rangle\, \mathbb{1}_2 - |\mu^+_i\rangle_1\langle\mu|_1\Big)|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle\psi^{21}_\mu \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

and similarly for ϕ^-_i. Consider first the Yukawa couplings with the fermionic zero modes Ψ_{22} on the point brane, which arise from the terms $\sim \mathbb{1}_2$ above. Assuming that μ is in the dominant Weyl chamber, only $i = 3$ contributes in the Yukawa coupling

$$m\mathrm{tr}\overline{\Psi}_{22}\gamma_5\Big(\Delta^-_i[S^+_{i(21)}, \Psi^{12}_\mu] + \Delta^+_i[S^-_{i(12)}, \Psi^{21}_\mu]\Big) \tag{5.16}$$

leading to

$$m(h^-_3)^*\langle\mu^-_3|\mu\rangle\, \overline{\Psi}_{22}\gamma_5\Delta^-_3\, |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle\psi^{12}_\mu. \tag{5.17}$$

This is non-vanishing, since Ψ_{22} is a trivial $\Lambda = 0$ mode. More generally, the chiral zero mode Ψ^{12}_μ with μ equal to the weight $\mu^\pm_i$ of some non-vanishing $S^\pm_{i(12)}$ is combined with the corresponding polarization of Ψ_{22} into a massive Dirac fermion, which are thereby raised into a massive sector. If the Higgs configuration is such that $S^+_{i(12)} \neq 0$ but $S^-_{i(12)} = 0$, then a chiral low-energy gauge theory emerges at low energies comprising only the remaining unpaired chiral[17] modes in Ψ^{12}_μ. In the context of the standard-model-like configuration discussed below, this may provide a mechanism to remove ν_R from the low-energy spectrum.

The second terms in (5.15) lead to Yukawa couplings of $\Psi^{12}_{\mu'}$ with the fermionic zero modes Ψ_{11} on $\mathcal{C}[\mu]$. Assume that $\mu' = \mu$ is the highest weight in V_μ, we obtain the Yukawas

$$-m(h^-_i)^*\mathrm{tr}\big(\overline{\Psi}_{11}|\mu\rangle_1\langle\mu^-_i|_1\big)\, \Delta^-_i\gamma_5|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle\psi^{12}_\mu \tag{5.18}$$

Now the $i = 3$ contribution can be ignored since then $\mu^-_3 = \mu$ and $|\mu\rangle_1\langle\mu^-_i|_1$ has weight zero, but there is no Ψ_{11} zero mode with vanishing weight. For $i = 1, 2$ the weight μ^-_i is adjacent to μ so that the polarizations match, but the overlap $\mathrm{tr}\big(Y^\Lambda_{\Lambda'}|\mu\rangle\langle\mu^-_i|\big)$ with the extremal mode in $Y^L_{\Lambda'} \in End(\mathcal{H}_\mu)$ with $\Lambda' = \mu - \mu^-_i$ may be small. Thus there may be some suppressed Yukawa couplings between the Ψ_{12} and Ψ_{11} zero modes, which however requires more work.

6 Towards the standard model

As an example of the above configurations, consider two congruent 6-dimensional branes $\mathcal{C}_N[\mu]$ denoted by $\mathcal{D}_u$ resp. $\mathcal{D}_d$, and one extra point brane denoted by $\mathcal{D}_l$. Let us denote by μ^R_i the 3 extremal weights related to μ by a Weyl rotation, and by μ^L_i the remaining 3 extremal weights; in other words, $C_L = \{\mu^L_i\}$ and $C_R = \{\mu^R_i\}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, cf. figure 1. As discussed before,

[17] This is not in contradiction with the index theorem, since the other chirality is in the conjugate sector, which is however redundant due to the MW condition.

off-diagonal chiral fermionic zero modes $\Psi^{(12)}_\Lambda$ arise, connecting $\mathcal{D}_l$ with the points C_L and C_R in $\mathcal{D}_u$ resp. $\mathcal{D}_d$. We denote these off-diagonal fermions as follows:

$$l^i_L = \begin{pmatrix}\nu^i_L \\ e^i_L\end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix}\Psi^{(ul)}_{\mu^L_i} \\ \Psi^{(dl)}_{\mu^L_i}\end{pmatrix}, \qquad l^i_R = \begin{pmatrix}\nu^i_R \\ e^i_R\end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix}\Psi^{(ul)}_{\mu^R_i} \\ \Psi^{(dl)}_{\mu^R_i}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.1}$$

These play the role of the leptons, with the appropriate chirality. Here $i = 1, 2, 3$ corresponds to the generations. This background admits an unbroken $U(2) \times U(1)_l$ gauge symmetry, where $SU(2)$ mixes $\mathcal{D}_u$ and $\mathcal{D}_d$ so that l_L and l_R are doublets. The $U(1)_l$ arises from $\mathcal{D}_l$.

Now assume that there is an off-diagonal Higgs $S^{(ul)}_\alpha \neq 0$ connecting $\mathcal{D}_l$ with $\mathcal{D}_u$, attached to one (or several) of the extremal weight states μ^R_i of C_R. As discussed in section 5.1.1, we may and will assume that the corresponding $S^{(ul)}_{-\alpha} = 0$. This means that $S^{(ul)}_\alpha$ transforms like ν^i_R. Then the above symmetry is broken to $U(1)_{ul} \times U(1)_d$, where $U(1)_{ul}$ arises on the $\mathcal{D}_u \cup \mathcal{D}_l$ branes. In particular, ν_R becomes a singlet.

To obtain baryons, we add three extra baryonic point branes $\mathcal{D}_{b_j}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. Now assume that there is no Higgs linking these baryonic branes and the leptonic ones[18]. We denote the fermionic zero modes linking $\mathcal{D}_{b_j}$ with $\mathcal{D}_u$ resp. $\mathcal{D}_d$ according to chirality as

$$q^i_L = \begin{pmatrix}u^i_L \\ d^i_L\end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix}\Psi^{(ub)}_{\mu^L_i} \\ \Psi^{(db)}_{\mu^L_i}\end{pmatrix}, \qquad q^i_R = \begin{pmatrix}u^i_R \\ d^i_R\end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix}\Psi^{(ub)}_{\mu^R_i} \\ \Psi^{(db)}_{\mu^R_i}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.2}$$

The unbroken symmetry can then be organized as $U(1)_Q \times U(1)_b \times U(1)_{tr} \times SU(3)_c$, where the electric charge generator

$$Q = \frac{1}{2}\big(\mathbb{1}_{ul} - \mathbb{1}_d - \frac{1}{3}\mathbb{1}_b\big) \tag{6.3}$$

gives the correct charge assignment via the adjoint (cf. [17]), and $U(1)_{tr}$ is the overall trace-$U(1)$. Here $\mathbb{1}_{ul} = \mathbb{1}_u + \mathbb{1}_l$, and Q is traceless. The $U(1)_{tr}$ decouples in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, but it acquires an interesting role related to gravity in the IKKT matrix model [24].

Now consider the lowest massive gauge bosons. As explained in sections 4.3, only those gauge bosons with weight $M = 0$ couple to the low-energy currents arising from the off-diagonal fermions. In the absence of S^{ul}, the lowest among those are the $M = 0$ modes in $(1, 1) \otimes \mathfrak{u}_2$ where $\mathfrak{u}_2$ denotes the $\mathfrak{u}(2)$ algebra acting on the coincident branes $\mathcal{D}_u, \mathcal{D}_d$. Let us however first discuss the next-to-lowest modes, given by the weight zero generators in $((3, 0) + (0, 3)) \otimes \mathfrak{u}_2$ discussed in section 3.4. In particular, the mode Θ (3.59) measures the chirality of the extremal weight states, i.e. $\Theta = \pm c$ on C_L and C_R, respectively[19]. We can therefore write $\Theta = \chi_L - \chi_R$ for the zero modes where $\chi_{L,R}$ are characteristic functions on $C_{L,R}$, or equivalently $2\chi_L = \Theta + c\mathbb{1}$ and $2\chi_R = -\Theta + c\mathbb{1}$.

Now assume that the off-diagonal Higgs $S^{(ul)}$ is switched on, with a large mass scale. Then Θ^u acquires a mass, while χ^u_L commutes with $S^{(ul)}$ and therefore survives at low energies. Together with the second brane $\mathcal{D}_d$ the remaining low-energy generators are $\chi_L \otimes \mathfrak{su}_2$ which

[18] One possibility to ensure this might be to let the point branes rotate in a suitable way; however it is not clear if this can be consistently implemented.

[19] On the point branes, Θ vanishes.

generates $SU(2)_L$, and another $U(1)$ generated by χ^d_R. However since the latter is anomalous upon restricting to the off-diagonal zero modes[20], we assume (as in similar constructions in the context of intersecting branes [25]) that χ^d_R is replaced by the combination

$$Y = \mathbb{1}_{ul} - \frac{1}{3}\mathbb{1}_b - (\chi^u_L + \chi^d_R) \tag{6.4}$$

corresponding to weak hypercharge, which is traceless and anomaly free in the off-diagonal low-energy sector. For the zero modes, this amounts to the Gell-Mann-Nishjima formula

$$2Q - Y = \chi^u_L - \chi^d_L = 2T^3_L \ . \tag{6.5}$$

It is plausible that the mass scale of Y is set by χ^d_R, and should be comparable to the mass of the W bosons

$$m^2_Y \approx m^2_W \sim 18m^2r^2 \approx \frac{9}{4}\omega^2. \tag{6.6}$$

Now we come back to the $M = 0$ gauge boson modes in $(1, 1)$ on $\mathcal{C}[\mu]$, given by the Cartan generators $H^u_{3,8}$. These don't fit into the standard model, because they are sensitive to the generation. Those on $\mathcal{D}_u$ acquire a mass due to $S^{(ul)}_\alpha$. There are candidates for Higgs modes on $\mathcal{C}[\mu]$ (notably the $\Lambda = (3, 0)$ and $\Lambda = (0, 3)$ modes which relate the weights within C_L resp. C_R) which give mass to all $H_{3,8}$ modes but not to the $\chi_{L,R}$ modes. If those are switched on, then the $H_{3,8}$ modes would become massive, leaving the above $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ as lowest gauge bosons. However this is simply an assumption at this point. In addition, there is a Kaluza-Klein tower of gauge bosons on $\mathcal{C}[\mu]$ with roughly equidistant masses above m_W. They are expected to acquire additional mass terms form various Higgses, which hopefully raise them sufficiently far above the W scale.

We have thus identified all the off-diagonal (would-be) fermionic zero modes with standard model fermions, plus ν_R. They are expected to acquire a mass of order (4.27)

$$m^2_\psi \sim \Delta\omega^2_i \tag{6.7}$$

due to the Higgs fields $\phi^{(0)}_\alpha$ on $\mathcal{D}_{u,d}$. Since in the (illustrative) solution (4.17) only one polarization $\phi^{(0)}_\alpha$ is switched on, it seems likely that one generation is much heavier that the others; this is also suggested by the sum rule (3.27). On the other hand, additional fermionic (would-be) zero modes arise on the branes $\mathcal{D}_{u,d}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{l,b}$. Some fermions on $\mathcal{D}_l$ will pair via $S^{(ul)}$ with ν_R to form a massive Dirac fermion, according to section (5.1.2). The fermions on $\mathcal{D}_b$ are superpartners of the gluons in a $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supermultiplet. Finally the fermions on $\mathcal{D}_{u,d}$ are mixed by many possible Yukawa couplings via the various Higgs modes, as discussed in section 4.2. This suggests that they tend to be heavier than the off-diagonal fermions, which have a rather clean structure of Yukawas. The details are clearly complicated and require much more work.

We also recall that for branes $\mathcal{C}[\mu]$ with large μ, the heavy off-diagonal fermions (5.5) are much heavier than the W mass. This is an important improvement over the configuration in

[20] Assuming that ν_R disappears from the low-energy spectrum as discussed in section 5.1.2. Any anomaly which may arise upon restricting to a subset of fermionic zero modes must cancel upon including all modes, since the underlying model is anomaly free.

[14]. While the "internal" sector of zero modes on the $\mathcal{D}_{u,d}$ is much simpler and cleaner on minimal branes $\mathcal{C}[(1,1)]$, the heavy off-diagonal fermions would be roughly at the same scale as the W mass. Hence the case of large μ seems more attractive from the particle physics point of view.

It is important to note that up to now, we discussed only the "upper-diagonal" Ψ^{12}-type modes between the branes. However this gives indeed the full story, since the conjugate Ψ^{21} modes are fixed by the MW condition and do not represent independent physical modes. We emphasize again that it is the assumption that $S^{(ul)}$ is connected to C_R but not C_L which is responsible for obtaining the above chiral standard-like behavior, starting from $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM.

We content ourselves with these qualitative observations here, leaving a more detailed analysis to future work. No claim on the viability of such a scenario can be made. However, given the special status of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM (and the IKKT matrix model), it is certainly very intriguing that one can arrive quite naturally in the vicinity of the standard model (in the broken phase), reproducing all its odd quantum numbers and even "predicting" the number of generations.

7 Energy and current

Let us compute the energy density of the background, which in our conventions is given by

$$E = T_{00} = \frac{1}{4g^2}\mathrm{tr}\left(2D_0\Phi^a D_0\Phi_a + D_\mu\Phi^a D^\mu\Phi_a + \Phi_a\Box_\Phi\Phi_b\right).$$

Using $r_i = r$ and $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = -\omega_3 = \omega$ (2.26) and $\Box_\Phi\Phi_a = -\omega^2\Phi_a$, we obtain

$$E = \frac{1}{2g^2}\mathrm{tr}D_0\Phi^a D_0\Phi_a = \frac{1}{2g^2}\omega_0^2\, m^2 r^2\,\mathrm{tr}(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}} T^\alpha T_\alpha) \tag{7.1}$$

using (2.22). Here $\mathrm{tr}(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}} T^\alpha T_\alpha)$ can easily be evaluated in a given representation, cf. [17]. This is clearly a large energy density, set by the UV scale of the model. Similarly, the R-current corresponding to the $U(1)_i$ rotation generated by τ_i with frequency ω_i is

$$J^i_\mu = \frac{1}{g^2}\mathrm{tr}\big(\partial_\mu\Phi^a(\tau_i)_{ab}\Phi^b\big) = \frac{1}{g^2}m^2 r_i^2\omega_{i\mu}\mathrm{tr}(T^{\alpha_i}T_{\alpha_i} + T^{-\alpha_i}T_{-\alpha_i}) \tag{7.2}$$

This is the same for all i due to (2.25), so that the energy per combined R-charge is

$$\frac{E}{|J^1_0| + |J^2_0| + |J^3_0|} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0\ . \tag{7.3}$$

These expressions for E and J_μ apply quite generally for various rotating brane solutions. For example, there are rotating fuzzy sphere solutions given by $X^\pm_i = re^{\pm i\omega x}J^{(l)}_i$ where $J^{(l)}_i$ are the spin l generators of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. All these solutions have the same energy per R charge ratio for given ω (the formulae apply even for a rotating plane wave solution $X^\pm_i \sim mre^{\pm i\omega x}$ with light-like current $J_\mu \sim \omega_\mu$). Therefore any of these solutions costs the same energy per given R-charge density, and there is no clear "ground state" with lowest energy per R charge, for fixed frequency ω.

8 Discussion and conclusion

We have discussed a new class of generalized vacua of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM which are not Poincare-invariant but rotating, and introduce a scale into the theory. Remarkably, they lead to a sector of low-energy excitations with Poincare-invariant kinematics, which is oblivious to the background rotation up to interactions with the remaining sector of "deformed" excitations. The backgrounds have a geometrical interpretation as spinning fuzzy squashed coadjoint orbits of $SU(3)$ [17] embedded in 6 extra dimension. The Poincare-invariant sector includes fermionic zero modes with distinct chiralities, analogous scalar zero modes, and a tower of gauge bosons. They are governed by a chiral gauge theory, in the sense that the chiral fermionic zero modes couple to a KK tower of massive gauge fields with charges depending on their chirality. In addition to these zero modes, there are generalized Kaluza-Klein towers of modes with deformed dispersion relations. Most of these are "heavy", but we also find a set of tachyonic modes or resonances. We have to assume that they get stabilized in some way, e.g. by quantum effects.

Moreover, we observe that if the 3 rotation frequencies ω_i^2 of the background are distinct, then some of the scalar (would-be) zero modes acquire a non-trivial VEV, with scale set by the *difference* of the frequencies. Although a fully consistent such solution remains to be found, this may provide a mechanism for introducing a hierarchy of scales into the theory.

On stacks of such branes, gauge theories of quiver type arise, retaining part of the underlying supersymmetry structure. While the detailed content of these low-energy theories is rather complicated and needs further elaboration, it can be studied effectively using group-theoretical tools. The structure of the Yukawa couplings is investigated, leading to a intricate pattern of interactions with the low-energy VEVs of the various Higgs candidates.

Finally, we identified simple configurations of stacks of such branes, whose low-energy sector is argued to be close to the standard model in the broken phase, reproducing all the quantum numbers and even the number of generations. The standard model matter fields can be interpreted as strings connecting different branes. Additional fields arise, whose detailed structure and physics remains to be clarified. Clearly no claim on physical viability can be made at this point, but it is certainly intriguing that one can arrive quite naturally in the vicinity of the standard model (in the broken phase), starting from pure $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM.

The results and constructions of this paper generalize immediately to the IKKT or IIB matrix model [18], which can be viewed as a non-commutative $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. While the noncommutative field aspects discussed here should have a smooth commutative limit [23], the trace-$U(1)$ sector acquires an interesting role related to gravity [24]. The present results are therefore also very encouraging towards considering matrix models as fundamental theories, cf. [26–28] for interesting recent results. Furthermore, given the much-studied relation between $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and string theory on $AdS^5 \times S^5$ [29], it is natural to ask about a dual description in terms of supergravity or string theory. In the present paper, the effective spectral geometry of the extra dimensions was exhibited; its description could be made more explicit along the lines of [24]. On the other hand, the relation with supergravity should be seen upon taking into account quantum corrections. Our solutions are also reminiscent of the story of giant gravitons [30], which are dual to operators with non-vanishing R-charge [31]. Therefore the solutions might be interpreted as a condensate of some sort of giant gravitons in supergravity, however we leave this to future work.

The present paper proposes an unconventional new approach to obtain interesting low-energy physics from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. There are clearly many open issues, and in particular

it remains to be seen if the stability problem for the rotating branes can be resolved in a satisfactory way. However many variations of the approach are conceivable, which may bring $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM or its deformations closer to actual physics than previously thought.

Acknowledgments. This work is supported by the Austrian Fonds für Wissenschaft und Forschung under grant P24713. I would like to thank in particular J. Zahn for related collaboration and mathematica programs. This work also benefited from useful discussions with P. Anastasopoulos, H. Aoki, A. Chatzitavrakidis, C-S. Chu, H. Kawai, J. Nishimura, and A. Tsuchyia.

A Structure of the potentials and mixing

In this appendix, we identify the eigenmodes of the static part of the potential assuming $r_i = r$, and show that it is strictly positive apart from the zero modes identified before. Its eigenstates have definite polarization $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ and weights M in $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$. Moreover, we will establish positivity of the operator $\mathcal{O}_V$ (3.34) based on results in [17].

It is convenient to collect the fluctuation modes as

$$\phi \ = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}} \lambda^\alpha \otimes \phi_\alpha \quad \in (8) \otimes \mathcal{H}_\Lambda \tag{A.1}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$, subject to $\phi^\dagger = \phi$. We can restrict ourselves to a given highest weight irrep V_Λ, with basis $|M\rangle_\Lambda$. Then the quadratic potential takes a simple form, separated into static potential

$$V_{\rm stat}[\Phi] = \mathrm{tr}\,\Phi\big(\frac{1}{2}\Box_X + \not{D}_{\rm diag}\big)\Phi \tag{A.2}$$

and rotating potential

$$V_{\rm rot}[\Phi] = \mathrm{tr}\,\Phi \not{D}_{mix}\Phi, \tag{A.3}$$

noting that $\mathrm{tr}\lambda_\alpha\lambda_\beta = 2\kappa_{\alpha\beta}$. Consider first the static potential. It is not hard to see that in the representation (A.1), we can write $\not{D}_{\rm diag}$ as

$$\not{D}_{\rm diag} = -([\lambda_3,.][H_3,.] + [\lambda_8,.][H_8,.]) \ . \tag{A.4}$$

Clearly, both $\Box_X$ and $\not{D}_{\rm diag}$ are diagonal on the product states $|L,M\rangle \equiv |L\rangle_{(8)} \otimes |M\rangle_\Lambda \in (8)\otimes H_\Lambda$ with weights L resp. M. Using the assumption that the radii $r_i = r$ are all the same, we can write

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\Box_X = \Box_T - ([H_3,[H_3,.]] + [H_8,[H_8,.]]) \tag{A.5}$$

where $\Box_T = \sum_{a=1}^8 [T_a,[T_a,.]]$ is the quadratic Casimir on V_Λ. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \not{D}_{\rm diag}|L,M\rangle &= 2(M,L)|L,M\rangle \\ \Box_X|L,M\rangle &= 2\big((\Lambda,\Lambda+2\rho) - (M,M)\big)|L,M\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

where $\rho = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha_3$ is the Weyl vector of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$. As a consistency check, we recover $\Box_X X^a = 8r^2 X^a$. Therefore the $\Phi_{LM} = |L, M\rangle$ states are indeed the eigenstates of $\frac{1}{2}\Box_X + \not{D}_{\rm diag}$. On these states, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\rm stat}[\Phi_{LM}] &= r^2 {\rm tr}\, \Phi^\dagger_{LM}\Big((\Lambda, \Lambda+2\rho) - (M,M) - (M, 2L)\Big)\Phi_{LM} \\ &\geq r^2 {\rm tr}\, \Phi^\dagger_{LM}\Big((\Lambda, \Lambda+2\rho) - (\Lambda,\Lambda) - (M, 2L)\Big)\Phi_{LM} \\ &= 2r^2 {\rm tr}\, \Phi^\dagger_{LM}\Big((\Lambda, \rho) - (M, L)\Big)\Phi_{LM}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

This is clearly non-negative, and vanishes only if $(M, L) = (\Lambda, \rho)$ and its images under $\mathcal{W}$. But these are precisely the extremal zero modes $\phi^{(0)}_\alpha$ discussed above, with fixed polarization α. Thus $V_{\rm stat}[\Phi]$ is positive for all modes except the zero modes $\phi^{(0)}_\alpha$.

Now consider the mixing operator $\overline{\not{D}}_{mix}$, fox fixed background. The corresponding bilinear from can be written in the following transparent way [17]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\,{\rm Tr}\,\Phi^\dagger \overline{\not{D}}_{mix}\Phi &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\mathcal{I}} i g_{\alpha\gamma\beta} {\rm tr}(\Phi^{\dagger\alpha}[X^\beta, \Phi^\gamma]) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\mathcal{I}} {\rm tr}(\lambda_\alpha[\lambda_\beta,\lambda_\gamma]\Phi^{\dagger\alpha}[X^\beta,\Phi^\gamma]) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\beta\in\mathcal{I}} {\rm tr}(\Phi^\dagger[\lambda_\beta,[X^\beta,\Phi]]) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}{\rm tr}(\Phi^\dagger \widetilde{\not{D}}_{mix}\Phi) \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

where we introduce[21]

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\not{D}}_{mix}\Phi &= -\sum_{\beta\in\mathcal{I}}[\lambda_\beta,[X^\beta,\Phi]] \\ \widetilde{\not{D}}_{ad}\Phi &= -\sum_{b=1}^{8}[\lambda_b,[X_b,\Phi]] = \widetilde{\not{D}}_{mix}\Phi + \not{D}_{\rm diag}\Phi \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

acting on $\Phi \in (8)\otimes\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$. This implies that

$$-2\widetilde{\not{D}}_{ad} = \Box_{T+L} - \Box_T - 6 \tag{A.10}$$

where

$$L_a = [\lambda_a, .], \qquad \sum_{a=1}^{8} L_a L_a = 12. \tag{A.11}$$

We can simultaneously diagonalize M and Λ (but not Λ') acting on $\Phi \in (8)\otimes\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$. Then $\not{D}_{ad}$ is diagonal on the fluctuation modes corresponding to combined irreps with highest weight Λ',

$$\Phi \in (8)\otimes V_\Lambda = \oplus V_{\Lambda'}. \tag{A.12}$$

[21] This form is valid to compute the bilinear form, but note that $\not{D}_{mix}\Phi$ differs from the definition (**??**) by terms with $\lambda_8\otimes\Phi_8 + \lambda_8\otimes\Phi_8$; these drop out in the bilinear form.

with eigenvalues

$$2\not{D}_{ad} = -2(\Lambda', \Lambda' + 2\rho) + 2(\Lambda, \Lambda + 2\rho) + 12 \tag{A.13}$$

on $V_{\Lambda'}$. Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_V = \Box_X + 2\overline{\not{D}}_{ad} &= \Box_X - \Box_{T+L} + \Box_T + 12 \\ &= 2\Box_T - \Box_{T+L} - ([H_3, [H_3, .]] + [H_8, [H_8, .]]) + 12\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

It is not easy to compute the spectrum of $\mathcal{O}_V$, since these operators do not commute. However, we observe that $\mathcal{O}_V$ differs from the static potential (B.7) in [17] only by the sign of $\widetilde{D}_{mix}$, and the two are in fact unitarily equivalent. Indeed using $\tau\widetilde{D}_{mix} = -\widetilde{D}_{mix}\tau$ (3.7), we have

$$\tau\mathcal{O}_V\tau = \Box_X - 2\widetilde{\not{D}}_{mix} + 2\not{D}_{\text{diag}} \ . \tag{A.15}$$

This is precisely the potential considered in [17], which was shown to be positive definite except for the above zero modes, and a set of exceptional zero modes with $\Lambda' = m\Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda' = m\Lambda_2$. This implies that $\mathcal{O}_V$ is also positive semi-definite. We can recover the (regular) zero modes of $\mathcal{O}_V$ for $\Lambda' = \Lambda + \rho$ and $M = \Lambda$ directly from (A.14), where the operators commute, with eigenvalue

$$4(\Lambda, \Lambda + 2\rho) - 2(\Lambda', \Lambda' + 2\rho) - 2(M, M) + 12 = 0 \ . \tag{A.16}$$

References

[1] M. Dubois-Violette, J. Madore and R. Kerner, "Gauge Bosons in a Noncommutative Geometry," Phys. Lett. B **217**, 485 (1989).

[2] R. C. Myers, "Dielectric branes," JHEP **9912**, 022 (1999) [hep-th/9910053].

[3] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. G. Cohen and H. Georgi, "Electroweak symmetry breaking from dimensional deconstruction," Phys. Lett. B **513**, 232 (2001) [hep-ph/0105239].

[4] J. Polchinski and M. J. Strassler, "The String dual of a confining four-dimensional gauge theory," hep-th/0003136.

[5] D. Berenstein, V. Jejjala and R. G. Leigh, "Marginal and relevant deformations of N=4 field theories and noncommutative moduli spaces of vacua," Nucl. Phys. B **589**, 196 (2000) [hep-th/0005087].

[6] R. P. Andrews and N. Dorey, "Spherical deconstruction," Phys. Lett. B **631** (2005) 74 [hep-th/0505107].

[7] P. Aschieri, T. Grammatikopoulos, H. Steinacker and G. Zoupanos, "Dynamical generation of fuzzy extra dimensions, dimensional reduction and symmetry breaking," JHEP **0609** (2006) 026 [hep-th/0606021].

[8] H. Steinacker and G. Zoupanos, "Fermions on spontaneously generated spherical extra dimensions," JHEP **0709**, 017 (2007) [arXiv:0706.0398 [hep-th]].

[9] A. Chatzistavrakidis, H. Steinacker and G. Zoupanos, "Orbifolds, fuzzy spheres and chiral fermions," JHEP **1005**, 100 (2010) [arXiv:1002.2606 [hep-th]].

[10] K. Furuuchi, T. Inami and K. Okuyama, "Gauge-Higgs Unification In Spontaneously Created Fuzzy Extra Dimensions," JHEP **1111**, 006 (2011) [arXiv:1108.4462 [hep-ph]].

[11] S. Kurkcuoglu, "Noncommutative Vortices and Flux-Tubes from Yang-Mills Theories with Spontaneously Generated Fuzzy Extra Dimensions," Phys. Rev. D **82**, 105010 (2010) [arXiv:1009.1880 [hep-th]].

[12] A. Chatzistavrakidis, H. Steinacker and G. Zoupanos, "On the fermion spectrum of spontaneously generated fuzzy extra dimensions with fluxes," Fortsch. Phys. **58**, 537 (2010) [arXiv:0909.5559 [hep-th]].

[13] A. Chatzistavrakidis, H. Steinacker and G. Zoupanos, "Intersecting branes and a standard model realization in matrix models," JHEP **1109**, 115 (2011) [arXiv:1107.0265 [hep-th]].

[14] H. C. Steinacker and J. Zahn, "An extended standard model and its Higgs geometry from the matrix model," arXiv:1401.2020 [hep-th].

[15] J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, "Realizing chiral fermions in the type IIB matrix model at finite N," JHEP **1312**, 002 (2013)

[16] H. Aoki, J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, "Realizing three generations of the Standard Model fermions in the type IIB matrix model," JHEP **1405**, 131 (2014) [arXiv:1401.7848 [hep-th]]

[17] H. C. Steinacker and J. Zahn, "Self-intersecting fuzzy extra dimensions from squashed coadjoint orbits in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and matrix models," arXiv:1409.1440 [hep-th].

[18] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa, A. Tsuchiya, "A Large N reduced model as superstring," Nucl. Phys. **B498** (1997) 467-491. [hep-th/9612115].

[19] H. Steinacker, "Split noncommutativity and compactified brane solutions in matrix models," Prog. Theor. Phys. **126**, 613 (2011) [arXiv:1106.6153 [hep-th]].

[20] A. P. Polychronakos, H. Steinacker and J. Zahn, "Brane compactifications and 4-dimensional geometry in the IKKT model," Nucl. Phys. B **875**, 566 (2013) [arXiv:1302.3707 [hep-th]]; D. N. Blaschke and H. C. Steinacker, "Compactified rotating branes in the matrix model, and excitation spectrum towards one loop," Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2414 (2013) [arXiv:1302.6507 [hep-th]].

[21] H. C. Steinacker and J. Zahn, unpublished.

[22] H. Grosse and A. Strohmaier, "Towards a nonperturbative covariant regularization in 4-D quantum field theory," Lett. Math. Phys. **48**, 163 (1999) [hep-th/9902138]; G. Alexanian, A. P. Balachandran, G. Immirzi and B. Ydri, "Fuzzy CP**2," J. Geom. Phys. **42**, 28 (2002) [hep-th/0103023]; H. Grosse and H. Steinacker, "Finite gauge theory on fuzzy CP**2," Nucl. Phys. B **707** (2005) 145 [hep-th/0407089].

[23] M. Hanada and H. Shimada, "On the continuity of the commutative limit of the 4d N=4 non-commutative super Yang-Mills theory," arXiv:1410.4503 [hep-th].

[24] H. Steinacker, "Emergent Geometry and Gravity from Matrix Models: an Introduction," Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 133001 (2010) [arXiv:1003.4134 [hep-th]]; H. Steinacker, "Emergent Gravity and Noncommutative Branes from Yang-Mills Matrix Models," Nucl. Phys. B **810**, 1 (2009) [arXiv:0806.2032 [hep-th]].

[25] I. Antoniadis, E. Kiritsis and T. N. Tomaras, "A D-brane alternative to unification," Phys. Lett. B **486**, 186 (2000) [hep-ph/0004214]; G. Aldazabal, S. Franco, L. E. Ibanez, R. Rabadan and A. M. Uranga, "Intersecting brane worlds," JHEP **0102**, 047 (2001) [hep-ph/0011132]; I. Antoniadis, E. Kiritsis, J. Rizos and T. N. Tomaras, "D-branes and the standard model," Nucl. Phys. B **660**, 81 (2003) [hep-th/0210263]; L. E. Ibanez, F. Marchesano and R. Rabadan, "Getting just the standard model at intersecting branes," JHEP **0111**, 002 (2001) [hep-th/0105155]; R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetic, P. Langacker and G. Shiu, "Toward realistic intersecting D-brane models," Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **55**, 71 (2005) [hep-th/0502005].

[26] S. W. Kim, J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, "Expanding (3+1)-dimensional universe from a Lorentzian matrix model for superstring theory in (9+1)-dimensions," Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 011601 (2012) [arXiv:1108.1540 [hep-th]]; S. W. Kim, J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, "Expanding universe as a classical solution in the Lorentzian matrix model for nonperturbative superstring theory," Phys. Rev. D **86**, 027901 (2012) [arXiv:1110.4803 [hep-th]]; S. W. Kim, J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, "Late time behaviors of the expanding universe in the IIB matrix model," JHEP **1210**, 147 (2012) [arXiv:1208.0711 [hep-th]]; J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, "Local field theory from the expanding universe at late times in the IIB matrix model,", PTEP **2013** (2013) 043B03 [arXiv:1208.4910 [hep-th]];

[27] D. Klammer and H. Steinacker, "Cosmological solutions of emergent noncommutative gravity," Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 221301 (2009) [arXiv:0903.0986 [gr-qc]].

[28] H. Aoki, "Chiral fermions and the standard model from the matrix model compactified on a torus," Prog. Theor. Phys. **125** (2011) 521 [arXiv:1011.1015 [hep-th]]

[29] J. M. Maldacena, "The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38**, 1113 (1999) [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998)] [hep-th/9711200].

[30] J. McGreevy, L. Susskind and N. Toumbas, "Invasion of the giant gravitons from Anti-de Sitter space," JHEP **0006**, 008 (2000) [hep-th/0003075].

[31] S. Corley, A. Jevicki and S. Ramgoolam, "Exact correlators of giant gravitons from dual N=4 SYM theory," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **5**, 809 (2002) [hep-th/0111222]; D. Berenstein, "Giant gravitons: a collective coordinate approach," Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 12, 126009 (2013) [arXiv:1301.3519 [hep-th]].